## BOARD MEETING AGENDA - August 28, 2017

1. Call to Order

- NOTICE OF MEETING RECORDINGS

Meetings of Lehigh County Authority's Board of Directors that are held at LCA's Main Office at 1053 Spruce Road, Wescosville, PA, may be recorded for viewing online at lehighcountauthority.org. Recordings of LCA meetings are for public convenience and internal use only and are not considered as minutes for the meeting being recorded, nor are they part of public record. Recordings may be retained or destroyed at LCA's discretion.

- Public Participation Sign-In Request

2. Review of Agenda / Executive Sessions
3. Approval of Minutes

- August 14, 2017 Board meeting minutes

4. Public Comments
5. Action / Discussion Items:

## FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION

- Suburban Division - Water \& Wastewater Tapping Fees - to be sent under separate cover
- 2018-2022 Draft Capital Plans - Distributed previously
- 2018 Budget - Information only (green)


## WATER

- Suburban Division - Buss Acres Pumping Station Replacement (purple)
- Suburban Division - Emergency Declaration (blue)


## WASTEWATER

- Allentown Division - Wastewater Treatment Plant: Miscellaneous Improvements (yellow)
- Suburban Division - 2017 SCARP Improvement Implementation Plant (tan)

6. Monthly Project Updates / Information Items ( $1^{\text {st }}$ Board meeting per month)
7. Monthly Financial Review ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ Board meeting per month) - July 2017 report attached
8. Monthly System Operations Overview ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ Board meeting per month) - July 2017 report attached
9. Staff Comments
10. Solicitor's Comments
11. Public Comments / Other Comments
12. Executive Sessions
13. Adjournment

| UPCOMING BOARD MEETINGS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Meetings begin at Noon at LCA's Main Office, unless noted otherwise below. |  |  |
| September 11, 2017 | September 25, 2017 | October 9,2017 |

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION POLICY
In accordance with Authority policy, members of the public shall record their name, address, and discussion item on the sign-in sheet at the start of each meeting; this information shall also be stated when addressing the meeting. During the Public Comment portions of the meeting, members of the public will be allowed 5 minutes to make comments/ask questions regarding non-agenda items, but time may be
extended at the discretion of the Chair; comments/questions regarding agenda items may be addressed after the presentation of the agenda item. Members of the public may not request that specific items or language be included in the meeting minutes.

The Regular Meeting of the Lehigh County Authority was called to order at 12:01 p.m. on Monday, August 14, 2017, Chairman Nagle presiding. Other Members present at the commencement of the meeting were: Linda Rosenfeld, Jeff Morgan, Richard Bohner, and Deana Zosky. Authority Staff present were Liesel Gross, Ed Klein, Pat Mandes, Chuck Volk, Chris Moughan, John Parsons, Susan Sampson, Phil DePoe, Robert Kerchusky, and Lisa Miller.

## REVIEW OF AGENDA

Chairman Nagle announced that today's Board meeting is being videotaped and streaming live and recordings will be posted to the Authority's website.

Liesel Gross noted there are no Agenda changes but noted that the 2018-2022 Capital Plans were distributed for their review in advance of the August 28, 2017 meeting. She also noted there will be an Executive Session at the end of the regular agenda regarding matters of potential litigation.

Deana Zosky requested that the agenda item related to the EPA Administrative Order Update be moved to the top of the agenda since she would need to leave the meeting at 1 p.m.

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES

## July 24, 2017 Regular Meeting Minutes

On a motion by Richard Bohner, seconded by Deana Zosky, the Board approved the Minutes of the July 24, 2017 meeting (5-0).

## PUBLIC COMMENTS

None.

## ACTION AND DISCUSSION ITEMS

## EPA Administrative Order Update

Liesel Gross provided a brief introduction to remind the Board of the different roles and responsibilities the Authority holds in responding to the USEPA Administrative Order (AO) to eliminate sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs). The Authority has an important role in facilitating the collaboration among communities in Western Lehigh County who use the Authority's interceptors and pump station. In addition, the Authority is a customer of the City of Allentown, as well as the operator of the City system under the terms of the lease agreement with the City. All communities that use the sewer system are named in the AO, and all are required to submit a capital improvements plan to EPA and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection by December 31, 2017 in order to comply with the AO. The Authority has been leading efforts to develop these plans collaboratively and to formalize cost-sharing concepts into inter-municipal agreements.

Jim Shelton from Arcadis was present and gave a presentation updating the Authority on the progress made to date to comply with the AO and develop the December 2017 required submission, and key action items required in the near term to meet the upcoming regulatory deadline. He also reviewed the status of negotiations with Western Lehigh County municipalities on cost-sharing concepts and reported that an agreement is likely to be offered for approval later this year. With regards to a cost-sharing agreement between the Authority, the City and its other municipal signatories, Mr. Shelton reported that negotiations have ended and an agreement will not be moving forward. This is due primarily to individual municipalities being uncertain about future cost exposure when their contribution to peak flows is not known today, and the City ended the negotiations based on not having all municipalities agreeing to the peak-flow cost-sharing concept. Members of the Authority Board expressed frustration that an agreement can't be met after all this time spent working on the project. Dan Koplish, consultant to the City of Allentown was present and commented that the City was agreeable to sharing costs based on peak flow, but was unwilling to sign an agreement without all the parties also agreeing. The City had issued its position to the municipalities, but
couldn't reach agreement with them on cost-sharing. He also noted that the City has no idea where it stands with respect to its own peak flow contributions to the SSOs and so the City took a leap of faith to try to negotiate the agreement. Deana Zosky commented that the negotiations could continue with the parties who wanted to participate, and Jim Shelton noted that the Authority and the City, plus the other municipalities that were open to this agreement, make up about 90 percent of the total flow in the system, so that an agreement could work if the parties were interested.

Liesel Gross explained that at this time the Authority staff is requesting action to be taken today to authorize Arcadis to develop the 2017 SCARP Improvement Implementation Plan and Guidance Manual for submission to the regulators by December 31, 2017 on behalf of LCA and the Western Lehigh municipalities. In addition, a Professional Services Authorization for Kleinfelder was presented to complete final design of Phase 1 improvements at the City's Kline's Island Wastewater Treatment Plant. The City of Allentown has directed the Authority, via the lease, to develop the final design for these improvements based on use of blending technology, and Kleinfelder has been selected to complete this work. Deana Zosky questioned why the Authority is requesting approval for design of blending facilities when no official regulatory decision has been made on the issue of blending, although DEP has stated that it would not be allowed. Jim Shelton explained that the DEP may not issue an official decision on blending until a permit application has been submitted, which requires the design work to be completed. Ms. Zosky stated she is opposed to spending taxpayer dollars on blending design when we don't know if it will be approved.

Chairman Brian Nagle suggested that the new information provided to the Board regarding the status of cost-sharing negotiations with the City and other municipalities is important and needs to be carefully reviewed an analyzed before additional action is taken on large expenditures such as the blending design. Due to upcoming staff absences and to allow time for additional discussion, he requested the Kleinfelder approval be tabled until the September 11, 2017 Board meeting.

Deana Zosky left the meeting at 1:03 p.m. At this time, there weren't enough Board members present for a quorum and no action could be taken on the Arcadis proposal.

## Suburban Division - Buss Acres Pumping Station Replacement

Not discussed.

## Allentown Division - Wastewater Treatment Plant: miscellaneous Improvements

Not discussed.

## Full-Year Financial Forecast

Ed Klein gave an overview of the 2017 Q3 Financial Forecast including a short PowerPoint presentation.

## MONTHLY PROJECT UPDATES/INFORMATION ITEMS

Liesel Gross stated that with the adjustments to the agenda for today's meeting due to the lack of quorum, the August 28, 2017 planned agenda will be adjusted to cover the items that were not addressed. These will be in addition to the items noted on the monthly report for the upcoming meetings.

## STAFF COMMENTS

None.

## SOLICITOR'S COMMENTS

None.

## PUBLIC COMMENTS / OTHER COMMENTS

None.
Chairman Nagle called a break at 1:25 p.m. The meeting reconvened at 1:28 p.m.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

Chairman Nagle called an Executive Session at 1:28 p.m. to discuss potential litigation.
The Executive Session ended at 2:28 p.m.

## ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the Chairman adjourned the meeting at 2:28 p.m.

Richard H. Bohner
Secretary

## LEHIGH COUNTY AUTHORITY

SUMMARY OF WASTEWATER FUND CAPITAL RECOVERY FEES
Updated as of 8/23/17

| Exhibit | Charge | Per Gallon |  |  | Per EDU |  |  | (1) Gallons <br> per EDU | (2) Change$\qquad$ \% | Costing Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing Charge 7/1/2016 | Maximum Charge | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { Charge } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Existing } \\ \text { Charge } \\ \text { 7/1/2016 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maximum } \\ & \text { Charge } \end{aligned}$ | New Charge |  |  |  |
| Interceptor System: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | Wastewater Capacity | \$ 5.84 | \$6.11 | \$6.11 | \$ 1,302.32 | \$ 1,363.61 | \$1,363.61 | 223.0 | 4.71\% | Historical Trended Cost |
| B | Western Lehigh Interceptor | 3.56 | 3.87 | 3.87 | 793.88 | 863.34 | 863.34 | 223.0 | 8.75\% | Historical Trended Cost |
| C | Little Lehigh Relief Interceptor | 0.99 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 220.77 | 245.66 | 245.66 | 223.0 | 11.28\% | Historical Trended Cost |
|  | Total Western Lehigh Service Area | 10.39 | 11.09 | 11.09 | 2,316.97 | 2,472.62 | 2,472.62 |  |  |  |
|  | Upper Milford System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D1 | Capacity | 1.85 | 5.32 | 5.32 | 435.00 | 1,249.85 | 1,249.85 | 234.9 | 187.32\% | Historical Trended Cost |
| D1 | Collection | 9.92 | 7.73 | 7.73 | 2,331.00 | 1,816.17 | 1,816.17 | 234.9 | -22.09\% | Historical Trended Cost |
| D1 | Planning Costs Capacity | 0.56 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 131.63 | 135.36 | 135.36 | 234.9 | 2.83\% | Historical Trended Cost |
|  | Lower Macungie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D2 | Capacity | 2.44 | \$2.56 | 2.56 | 585.00 | 611.52 | 611.52 | 238.5 | 4.53\% | Historical Trended Cost |
|  | MFR Charge | 1.47 | 1.54 |  | 351.00 | 366.91 | 366.91 |  | 4.53\% |  |
|  | Heidelberg Heights Wastewater System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E | Capacity | 19.73 | 22.34 | 22.34 | 4,754.29 | 5,388.26 | 5,388.26 | 241.2 | 13.33\% | Historical Trended Cost |
| E | Collection | 2.60 | 3.49 | 3.49 | 626.55 | 842.74 | 842.74 | 241.2 | 34.50\% | Historical Trended Cost |
|  | Wynnewood Terrace Wastewater System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Capacity | 6.37 | 10.64 | 10.64 | 1,534.48 | 2,567.32 | 2,567.32 | 241.2 | 67.31\% | Historical Trended Cost |
| F | Collection | 10.46 | 11.56 | 11.56 | 2,520.23 | 2,788.31 | 2,788.31 | 241.2 | 10.64\% | Historical Trended Cost |
|  | Sand Springs Wastewater System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | Capacity | 3.82 | 5.97 | 5.97 | 920.38 | 1,441.01 | 1,441.01 | 241.2 | 56.57\% | Historical Trended Cost |
|  | MFR Charge | 2.48 | 3.88 |  | 598.25 | 936.66 | 936.66 |  | 56.57\% |  |
| G | Collection | 2.56 | 3.52 | 3.52 | 617.88 | 849.83 | 849.83 | 241.2 | 37.54\% | Historical Trended Cost |
|  | MFR Charge | 1.66 | 2.29 |  | 401.62 | 552.39 | 552.39 |  | 37.54\% |  |
|  | Arcadia West, Western Weisenberg: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (3) | Reimbursement Fee - Collection Part | 50.02 |  |  | 13,130.32 | \$0.00 |  | 262.5 |  | Historical Trended Cost |
| (3) | Reimbursement Fee - Capacity Part | 33.06 |  |  | 8,678.82 | \$0.00 |  | 262.5 |  | Historical Trended Cost |
| (3) | LCA Land Fee | 3.96 |  |  | 1,040.25 | \$0.00 |  | 262.5 |  | Historical Trended Cost |
| (3) | LCA Planning | 2.52 |  |  | 661.50 | \$0.00 |  | 262.5 |  | Historical plus Financing Costs |
| (3) | W Weisenberg Treatment Plant | 38.51 |  |  | 10,108.10 | \$0.00 |  | 262.5 |  | Historical Trended Cost |

(1) The gallons per EDU figure to calculate the per gallon rate has changed with the 2010 census data
(2) Based on Charge Per EDU
(3) Arcadia West and Western Weisenberg Fees will be updated in the future pending review of existing developer agreements.

## Resulting Charges

## Upper Milford System

Rt 29, Ramer Heights and Vera Cruz
MFR Charge
Other UMiT Areas
MFR Charge

| 22.72 | 24.72 |  | $5,214.60$ | $5,674.00$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5,674.00$ |  |  |  |  |
| 13.63 | 14.83 | $3,128.76$ | $3,404.40$ | $3,404.40$ |
| 10.95 | 11.66 | $2,448.60$ | $2,607.97$ | $2,607.97$ |
| 6.57 | 7.00 | $1,469.16$ | $1,564.78$ | $1,564.78$ |

8.81\% ABC + D1-Cap + D1-Coll + D1-Plan
8.81\%
6.51\%
6.51\%

Exhibit A
Lehigh County Authority - Central Lehigh Division
Lehigh County, Pennsylvania
Calculation of Sewer Tapping Fee
Interceptor System: Wastewater Capacity
A. Capacity Part

General Pool
Salisbury Portion
Less: Contributions Net Capital

Financing Costs for Capacity Part Total Cost of Capacity Part

Plus: Interest Paid On Debt
Less: Outstanding Debt
Eligible Cost for Capacity Part
Total Capacity
Capacity Tapping Fee per Gallon
Gallon per EDU for Capacity Part (1)
Capacity Tapping Fee per EDU
(1) Total Gallons Per Day Per EDU
\$1,116.59

223

Per Agreements

Exhibit B
Lehigh County Authority - Central Lehigh Division
Lehigh County, Pennsylvania
Calculation of Sewer Tapping Fee
Interceptor System: Western Lehigh Interceptor

| A. Capacity Part | Exhibit Reference | Original Cost | Trended Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Interceptor | B - 1 | \$5,215,326 | \$32,254,575 |
| Phase II, Stage 1 | B - 1 | 884,097 | 1,996,457 |
| Phase II, Stage 2 | B-1 | 6,069,549 | 11,206,301 |
| Phase II, Stage 4 | B-1 | 3,315,228 | 4,737,319 |
| Flow Equaliz. Basin | B-1 | 5,495,586 | 6,655,073 |
| Iron Run PS \& Force Main | B-1 | 1,090,829 | 4,724 |
| Wastewater Treatment Capacity | B-1 | 601,763 | 691,265 |
| WLI - WW Capacity Prg Dev | B - 1 | 1,207,197 | 746,336 |
| Interceptor Conn | B-1 | 1,823 | 2,045 |
| Flow Monitoring Network | B - 1 | 419 | 470 |
| Spring Creek PS Improvements | B-1 | 86,250 | 90,833 |
| Meter Stat. No. 5 Improvements | B-1 | 172,176 | 187,010 |
|  |  | \$24,140,242 | \$58,572,407 |
| Less: Contributions |  | 2,536,420 | 15,686,680 |
| Net Capital |  | \$21,603,822 | \$42,885,728 |
| Financing Costs for Capacity Part |  | - | - |
| Total Cost of Capacity Part |  | \$21,603,822 | \$42,885,728 |
| Plus: Interest Paid On Debt |  | 4,911,674 | n/a |
| Less: Outstanding Debt |  | n/a | 3,927,001 |
| Eligible Cost for Capacity Part |  | \$26,515,496 | \$38,958,727 |
| Total Capacity |  | 10,063,000 | 10,063,000 |
| Capacity Tapping Fee per Gallon |  | \$2.63 | \$3.87 |
| Gallon per EDU for Capacity Part (1) |  | 223.0 | 223.0 |
| Capacity Tapping Fee per EDU |  | \$587.59 | \$863.34 |
| (1) Total Gallons Per Day Per EDU Per Agreements |  | 223 |  |

Exhibit B-1
Lehigh County Authority - City Division
Lehigh County, Pennsylvania Interceptor System: Western Lehigh Interceptor

Capacity Assets

| Expenditure |  | Placed in Service |  | Original Cost | Cost Index |  | Trended Current Replacement Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Interceptor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interceptor |  | Jan | 1972 | \$5,215,326 | 1753 | 10842 | \$32,254,575 |
| Phase II, Stage 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interceptor |  | May | 1991 | \$884,097 | 4801 | 10842 | \$1,996,457 |
| Phase II, Stage 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interceptor |  | Jan | 1998 | \$2,449,063 | 5852 | 10842 | \$4,537,195 |
| PS Structure |  | Jan | 1998 | 2,044,224 | 5852 | 10842 | 3,787,180 |
| Pump \& Elect |  | Jan | 1998 | 1,506,462 | 5852 | 10842 | 2,790,909 |
| Land |  | Jan | 1998 | 44,915 |  |  | 44,915 |
| Metering |  | Jan | 1998 | 24,885 | 5852 | 10842 | 46,103 |
| Phase II, Stage 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interceptor |  | Sep | 2005 | \$3,247,728 | 7540 | 10842 | \$4,669,819 |
| Land |  | Sep | 2005 | 67,500 |  |  | 67,500 |
| Flow Equaliz. Basin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Dec | 2010 | \$5,488,588 | 8952 | 10842 | \$6,646,805 |
|  |  | Jan | 2012 | 6,998 | 9176 | 10842 | 8,268 |
| Iron Run PS \& Force Main |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prior to | Jan | 2012 | \$1,086,546 | Excluded - | Previously | counted |
|  |  | Jan | 2012 | 1,782 | 9176 | 10842 | \$2,106 |
|  |  | Jan | 2013 | 200 | 9437 | 10842 | 230 |
|  |  | Jan | 2014 | 106 | 9664 | 10842 | 118 |
|  |  | Jan | 2015 | 209 | 9972 | 10842 | 228 |
|  |  | Jan | 2017 | 1,986 | 10542 | 10842 | 2,042 |
| Wastewater Treatment Capacity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Jan | 2012 | \$350 | 9176 | 10842 | \$414 |
|  |  | Jan | 2013 | 600,369 | 9437 | 10842 | 689,705 |
|  |  | Jan | 2014 | 298 | 9664 | 10842 | 334 |
|  |  | Jan | 2015 | 747 | 9972 | 10842 | 812 |
| WLI - WW Capacity Prg Dev |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prior to | Jan | 2012 | \$546,637 | Excluded - | Previously | counted |
|  |  | Jan | 2012 | 66,774 | 9176 | 10842 | \$78,894 |
|  |  | Jan | 2013 | 246,735 | 9437 | 10842 | 283,450 |
|  |  | Jan | 2014 | 213,732 | 9664 | 10842 | 239,776 |
|  |  | Jan | 2015 | 91,472 | 9972 | 10842 | 99,448 |
|  |  | Jan | 2016 | 41,663 | 10133 | 10842 | 44,579 |
|  |  | Jan | 2017 | 185 | 10542 | 10842 | 190 |
| Signatory I\&I Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prior to | Jan | 2012 | \$2,291,652 | Excluded - | Repairs |  |
|  |  | Jan | 2012 | -438,574 | Excluded - | Repairs |  |
|  |  | Jan | 2013 | - 400,188 | Excluded - | Repairs |  |
|  |  | Jan | 2014 | -467,300 | Excluded - | Repairs |  |
|  |  | Jan | 2015 | -324,637 | Excluded - | Repairs |  |
|  |  | Jan | 2016 | 296,267 | Excluded - | Repairs |  |
|  |  | Jan | 2017 | -199,380 | Excluded - | Repairs |  |

Exhibit B-1
Lehigh County Authority - City Division
Lehigh County, Pennsylvania Interceptor System: Western Lehigh Interceptor

Capacity Assets

| Expenditure | Placed in Service |  | Original Cost | Cost <br> Original | ndex <br> Current | Trended Current <br> Replacement Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interceptor Conn |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan | 2014 | \$1,823 | 9664 | 10842 | \$2,045 |
| Flow Monitoring Network |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan | 2014 | \$419 | 9664 | 10842 | \$470 |
| Spring Creek PS Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan | 2014 | \$528 | 9664 | 10842 | \$592 |
|  | Jan | 2015 | 413 | 9972 | 10842 | 449 |
|  | Jan | 2016 | 49,537 | 10133 | 10842 | 53,004 |
|  | Jan | 2017 | 35,771 | 10542 | 10842 | 36,788 |
| Meter Stat. No. 5 Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan | 2015 | \$161,709 | 9972 | 10842 | \$175,811 |
|  | Jan | 2016 | 10,466 | 10133 | 10842 | 11,199 |
| Test \& Seal - Cycle 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan | 2016 | \$534,283 | Excluded - | Repairs |  |
|  | Jan | 2017 | \$280,907 | Excluded - | Repairs |  |
| Spring Creek Force Main AARV Rep |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan | 2017 | \$3,757 | Excluded - | Repairs |  |
|  |  |  | \$22,507,060 |  |  | \$58,572,407 |

Exhibit C

## Lehigh County Authority - Central Lehigh Division <br> Lehigh County, Pennsylvania Calculation of Sewer Tapping Fee Little Lehigh Relief Interceptor

A. Capacity Part

Project Costs - Dec. 1986
Park PS Improvements Ph1 - Prior to 2012
Park PS Improvements Ph1-2012
Park PS SCADA Gen - Prior to 2012
Park PS SCADA Gen - 2012
Park PS Improvements Ph1-2013
Park PS SCADA Gen - 2013
Park PS Improvements Ph1-2014
Park PS SCADA Gen - 2014
Park PS Improvements Ph1-2015
Park PS SCADA Gen - 2015
Park PS Improvements Ph1-2016
Park PS Force Main Upgrade - 2016
Park PS Improvements Ph1-2017
Park PS Force Main Upgrade - 2017
Park PS Force Main Extension - 2017

Less: Contributions
Net Capital

Financing Costs for Capacity Part
Total Cost of Capacity Part
Plus: Interest Paid On Debt

Less: Outstanding Debt
Eligible Cost for Capacity Part

Total Capacity

Capacity Tapping Fee per Gallon

Gallon per EDU for Capacity Part (1)
Capacity Tapping Fee per EDU
(1) Total Gallons Per Day Per EDU

Per Agreements

| Original Cost |  | Trended Cost |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 4,750,332$ | $\$ 11,836,591$ |  |
| 34,670 |  | 40,963 |
| 102,160 |  | 120,703 |
| 34,421 |  | 40,669 |
| 11,326 |  | 13,382 |
| 21,619 |  | 7,836 |
| 6,189 |  | 84 |
| 74 | 157,771 |  |
| 140,634 | 1,058 |  |
| 973 | 78,690 |  |
| 72,378 |  | 105,785 |
| 98,867 | 108 |  |
| 101 | 34,306 |  |
| 33,358 | 1,304 |  |
| 1,268 | 6,110 |  |
| 5,941 |  | $\$ 12,469,471$ |
|  | $1,453,235$ |  |
| $55,314,314$ | $\$ 11,016,236$ |  |


| - | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\$ 11,016,236$ |
| $4,890,657$ |  |  |
|  | $n / a$ |  |
| n/a |  | - |
| $\$ 9,621,750$ |  | $\$ 11,016,236$ |

10,000,000
$\$ 0.96$
223.0
\$214.57
\$245.66

## Exhibit D1

Lehigh County Authority - Central Lehigh Division<br>Lehigh County, Pennsylvania Calculation of Sewer Tapping Fee<br>Upper Milford Township - Rt. 29 Area

| A. Capacity Part | Exhibit Reference | Original Cost | Trended Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rt. 29 Project Cost \& Capitalized Interest | D1-1 | \$987,650 | \$2,318,681 |
|  |  | \$987,650 | \$2,318,681 |
| Less: Contributions |  | - | - |
| Net Capital |  | \$987,650 | \$2,318,681 |
| Financing Costs for Capacity Part |  | - | - |
| Total Cost of Capacity Part |  | \$987,650 | \$2,318,681 |
| Plus: Interest Paid On Debt |  | - | n/a |
| Less: Outstanding Debt |  | n/a | 261,296 |
| Eligible Cost for Capacity Part |  | \$987,650 | \$2,057,384 |
| Total Capacity |  | 386,669 | 386,669 |
| Capacity Tapping Fee per Gallon |  | \$2.55 | \$5.32 |
| Gallon per EDU for Capacity Part (1) |  | 234.9 | 234.9 |
| Capacity Tapping Fee per EDU |  | \$599.99 | \$1,249.85 |

## Exhibit D1

## Lehigh County Authority - Central Lehigh Division <br> Lehigh County, Pennsylvania Calculation of Sewer Tapping Fee <br> Upper Milford Township - Rt. 29 Area

## B. Collection Part

Rt. 29 Project Cost \& Capitalized Interest
South 7th Street - Ph - 1
South 7th Street - Ph - 2
Ramer Heights Project \& Capitalized Interest
Vera Cruz Project
Additional Project Costs
Less: Contributions
Net Capital
Financing Costs for Collection Part
Total Cost of Collection Part

Plus: Interest Paid On Debt
Less: Outstanding Debt
Eligible Cost for Capacity Part
Total Capacity
Collection Tapping Fee per Gallon
Gallon per EDU for Capacity Part (1)
Collection Tapping Fee per EDU

| Exhibit Reference | Original Cost | Trended Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1-1 | \$658,434 | \$1,545,789 |
| D1-1 | 204,222 | 258,988 |
| D1-1 | 233,261 | 283,493 |
| D1-1 | 148,811 | 318,906 |
| D1-1 | 4,284,326 | 5,060,954 |
| D1-1 | 49,496 | 54,170 |
|  | \$5,578,550 | \$7,522,299 |
| D1-2 | 2,688,249 | 3,129,685 |
|  | \$2,890,301 | \$4,392,614 |
|  | - | - |
|  | \$2,890,301 | \$4,392,614 |
|  | - | n/a |

$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$
$\$ 2,890,301$

Exhibit D1
Lehigh County Authority - Central Lehigh Division
Lehigh County, Pennsylvania Calculation of Sewer Tapping Fee
Upper Milford Township - Rt. 29 Area
C. Capacity Part - Planning Costs

Planning Costs
Less: Contributions
Net Capital

Financing Costs for Capacity Part
Total Cost of Capacity Part
Plus: Interest Paid On Debt

| Exhibit Reference | Original Cost | Trended Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$186,279 | \$220,187 |
|  | \$186,279 | \$220,187 |
|  | - | - |
|  | \$186,279 | \$220,187 |
|  | 1,184 | 2,626 |
|  | \$187,463 | \$222,813 |
|  | 29,122 | n/a |

Less: Outstanding Debt
Eligible Cost for Capacity Part
Total Capacity

| $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | - |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 216,585$ | $\$ 222,813$ |

Capacity Tapping Fee per Gallon
Gallon per EDU for Capacity Part (1)
234.9

386,669
386,669

Capacity Tapping Fee per EDU
$\$ 131.57$
\$135.36
(1) Average Household Size Per 2010 Census for Upper Milford
2.61
Gallons Per Capita Per Day Allowed Per Act 57 of 2003
Total Gallons Per Day Per EDU

Exhibit D1-1
Lehigh County Authority - City Division
Lehigh County, Pennsylvania
Upper Milford Township - Rt. 29 Area

## Capacity Assets

| Expenditure | Placed in Service |  | Original Cost | Cost Index |  | Trended Current Replacement Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rt. 29 Project Cost \& Capitalized Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interceptor | Aug | 1989 | \$987,650 | 4618 | 10841.56 | \$2,318,681 |
|  |  |  | \$987,650 |  |  | \$2,318,681 |

## Collection Assets

| Expenditure | Placed in Service |  | Original Cost | Cost Index | Index <br> Current | Trended Current Replacement Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rt. 29 Project Cost \& Capitalized Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collector | Aug | 1989 | \$658,434 | 4618 | 10841.56 | \$1,545,789 |
| Ramer Heights Project \& Capitalized Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collector | Dec | 1992 | \$148,811 | 5059 | 10841.56 | \$318,906 |
| South 7th Street - Ph-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collector | Jan | 2009 | \$204,222 | 8549 | 10841.56 | \$258,988 |
| South 7th Street - Ph-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collector | Oct | 2010 | \$233,261 | 8921 | 10841.56 | \$283,493 |
| Vera Cruz Project |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior to | Jan | 2012 | \$2,495,282 | 9176 | 10841.56 | \$2,948,207 |
|  | Jan | 2012 | 1,765,192 | 9176 | 10841.56 | 2,085,597 |
|  | Jan | 2013 | 18,891 | 9437 | 10841.56 | 21,701 |
|  | Jan | 2014 | 1,572 | 9664 | 10841.56 | 1,763 |
|  | Jan | 2015 | 3,390 | 9972 | 10841.56 | 3,686 |
| Additional Project Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South 7th Street Extension | Jan | 2012 | \$458 | 9176 | 10841.56 | \$541 |
| South 7th Street Extension - Ph-2 | Jan | 2012 | 2,701 | 9176 | 10841.56 | 3,191 |
| Weaver - 4751 Mill Road | Jan | 2012 | 1,650 | 9176 | 10841.56 | 1,949 |
| Weaver - 4751 Mill Road | Jan | 2013 | 8,555 | 9437 | 10841.56 | 9,828 |
| Fields at Indian Creek | Jan | 2014 | 2,048 | 9664 | 10841.56 | 2,298 |
| Weaver - 4751 Mill Road | Jan | 2015 | 150 | 9972 | 10841.56 | 164 |
| Fields at Indian Creek | Jan | 2015 | 4,433 | 9972 | 10841.56 | 4,820 |
| Fields at Indian Creek | Jan | 2016 | 25,037 | 10133 | 10841.56 | 26,789 |
| Fields at Indian Creek | Jan | 2017 | 4,092 | 10542 | 10841.56 | 4,209 |
| Kohler Tract - Sewer | Jan | 2017 | 372 | 10542 | 10841.56 | 383 |
|  |  |  | \$5,578,550 |  |  | \$7,522,299 |

Exhibit D1-2
Lehigh County Authority - City Division
Lehigh County, Pennsylvania
Upper Milford Township - Rt. 29 Area

| Expenditure | Placed in Service |  | Collection <br> Original Cost | Cost <br> Original | ndex <br> Current | Trended Current Replacement Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South 7th Street - Ph-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Grant | Jan | 2009 | \$172,100 | 8549 | 10841.56 | \$218,252 |
| South 7th Street - Ph-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Grant | Oct | 2010 | \$206,649 | 8921 | 10841.56 | \$251,150 |
| Vera Cruz Project Costs thru 4/30/14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Grant | Dec | 2012 | \$1,309,500 | 9412 | 10841.56 | \$1,508,396 |
| State Grant | Dec | 2012 | 1,000,000 | 9412 | 10841.56 | 1,151,887 |
|  |  |  | \$2,688,249 |  |  | \$3,129,685 |

Exhibit D2
Lehigh County Authority - Central Lehigh Division
Lehigh County, Pennsylvania
Calculation of Sewer Tapping Fee
Lower Macungie Connections to UMiT Interceptor
A. Capacity Part

Rt. 29 Project Cost \% of Project applicable to LMT Interceptor

Less: Contributions Net Capital

|  | Original Cost | Trended Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,646,084 |  |  |
| 24.79\% | \$408,064 | \$958,002 |
|  | \$408,064 | \$958,002 |
|  | - | - |
|  | \$408,064 | \$958,002 |

Financing Costs for Capacity Part
Total Cost of Capacity Part


Plus: Interest Paid On Debt

$$
\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}
$$

Less: Outstanding Debt
Eligible Cost for Capacity Part
Total Capacity
Capacity Tapping Fee per Gallon
Gallon per EDU for Capacity Part (1) 238.5
238.5

Capacity Tapping Fee per EDU
\$260.48
$\$ 611.52$
(1) Average Household Size Per 2010 Census for Lower Macungie Twp 2.65 Gallons Per Capita Per Day Allowed Per Act 57 of 2003 90
Total Gallons Per Day Per EDU

Exhibit E
Lehigh County Authority - Central Lehigh Division
Lehigh County, Pennsylvania
Calculation of Sewer Tapping Fee
Heidelberg Heights System

| A. Capacity Part | Original Cost | Trended Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Costs | \$785,141 | \$1,364,564 |
| WWTP Upgrades - 2017 | 3,798 | 3,906 |
|  | \$788,939 | \$1,368,470 |
| Less: Contributions | - | - |
| Net Capital | \$788,939 | \$1,368,470 |
| Financing Costs for Capacity Part | - | - |
| Total Cost of Capacity Part | \$788,939 | \$1,368,470 |
| Plus: Interest Paid On Debt | - | n/a |
| Less: Outstanding Debt | n/a | 28,106 |
| Eligible Cost for Capacity Part | \$788,939 | \$1,340,364 |
| Total Capacity | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| Capacity Tapping Fee per Gallon | \$13.15 | \$22.34 |
| Gallon per EDU for Capacity Part (1) | 241.2 | 241.2 |
| Capacity Tapping Fee per EDU | \$3,171.53 | \$5,388.26 |

Exhibit E
Lehigh County Authority - Central Lehigh Division
Lehigh County, Pennsylvania Calculation of Sewer Tapping Fee

Heidelberg Heights System
B. Collection Part

Project Costs
I\&I Removal Project - 2016
I\&I Removal Project - 2017
Less: Contributions
Net Capital
Financing Costs for Collection Part
Total Cost of Collection Part
Plus: Interest Paid On Debt

Less: Outstanding Debt
Eligible Cost for Capacity Part
Total Capacity
Collection Tapping Fee per Gallon
Gallon per EDU for Capacity Part (1)
Collection Tapping Fee per EDU

|  | Original Cost | Trended Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$123,157 | \$214,045 |
| Excluded - Repairs | 57,099 |  |
| Excluded-Repairs | - 447 |  |
|  | \$123,157 | \$214,045 |
|  | - | - |
|  | \$123,157 | \$214,045 |
|  | - | - |
|  | \$123,157 | \$214,045 |
|  | - | n/a |
|  | n/a | 4,409 |
|  | \$123,157 | \$209,637 |
|  | 60,000 | 60,000 |
|  | \$2.05 | \$3.49 |
|  | 241.2 | 241.2 |
|  | \$495.09 | \$842.74 |

[^0]Exhibit F<br>Lehigh County Authority - Central Lehigh Division<br>Lehigh County, Pennsylvania Calculation of Sewer Tapping Fee<br>Wynnewood Terrace System

| A. Capacity Part | Original Cost | Trended Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition Costs | \$247,393 | \$400,616 |
| System Improvements - Prior to 2012 | 3,990 | 4,715 |
| System Improvements - 2012 | 32,645 | 38,571 |
| System Improvements - 2013 | 55,264 | 63,487 |
| System Improvements - 2014 | 67,642 | 75,884 |
| WWTP Improvements - 2015 | 21,241 | 23,093 |
| WWTP Improvements - 2016 | 5,080 | 5,436 |
| WWTP Improvements - 2017 | 26,094 | 26,835 |
|  | \$459,349 | \$638,637 |
| Less: Contributions | - | - |
| Net Capital | \$459,349 | \$638,637 |
| Financing Costs for Capacity Part | - | - |
| Total Cost of Capacity Part | \$459,349 | \$638,637 |
| Plus: Interest Paid On Debt | - | n/a |
| Less: Outstanding Debt | n/a | - |
| Eligible Cost for Capacity Part | \$459,349 | \$638,637 |
| Total Capacity | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| Capacity Tapping Fee per Gallon | \$7.66 | \$10.64 |
| Gallon per EDU for Capacity Part (1) | 241.2 | 241.2 |
| Capacity Tapping Fee per EDU | \$1,846.58 | \$2,567.32 |

Exhibit F<br>Lehigh County Authority - Central Lehigh Division<br>Lehigh County, Pennsylvania Calculation of Sewer Tapping Fee<br>Wynnewood Terrace System

## B. Collection Part

Acquisition Costs
Riverside Prof Cntr Additions - 2012
Riverside Prof Cntr Additions - 2013
Wynnewood I\&I Project - 2013
Wynnewood I\&I Project - 2014
Main, PS \& Force Main - 2015
Main, PS \& Force Main - 2016
Main, PS \& Force Main - 2017
Less: Contributions
Net Capital
Financing Costs for Collection Part Total Cost of Collection Part

Plus: Interest Paid On Debt
Less: Outstanding Debt
Eligible Cost for Capacity Part
Total Capacity
Collection Tapping Fee per Gallon
Gallon per EDU for Capacity Part (1)

Collection Tapping Fee per EDU

|  | Original Cost | Trended Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$406,318 | \$657,972 |
|  | 132 | 156 |
|  | 1,476 | 1,695 |
| Excluded - Repairs | -24,096 |  |
| Excluded - Repairs | 17,020 |  |
|  | 645,390 | 701,671 |
|  | 102,876 | 110,075 |
|  | 517 | 531 |
|  | \$1,156,709 | \$1,472,101 |
|  | - | - |
|  | \$1,156,709 | \$1,472,101 |
|  | - | - |
|  | \$1,156,709 | \$1,472,101 |
|  | - | n/a |
|  | n/a | 778,492 |
|  | \$1,156,709 | \$693,609 |
|  | 60,000 | 60,000 |
|  | \$19.28 | \$11.56 |
|  | 241.2 | 241.2 |
|  | \$4,649.97 | \$2,788.31 |

[^1]Exhibit G
Lehigh County Authority - Central Lehigh Division
Lehigh County, Pennsylvania Calculation of Sewer Tapping Fee Sand Spring Wastewater System
A. Capacity Part

Acquisition Costs
System Improvements - 2005
WWTP Improvements - 2015
WWTP Improvements - 2016
WWTP Improvements - 2017
Less: Contributions Net Capital

Financing Costs for Capacity Part Total Cost of Capacity Part

Plus: Interest Paid On Debt
Less: Outstanding Debt
Eligible Cost for Capacity Part
Total Capacity
Capacity Tapping Fee per Gallon
Gallon per EDU for Capacity Part (1)
Capacity Tapping Fee per EDU

| Original Cost |  | Trended Cost |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 88,048$ | $\$ 130,818$ |
| 6,358 |  | 9,372 |
| 20,683 |  | 22,487 |
| 14,546 |  |  |
|  | 15,564 |  |
| 30,008 | 30,861 |  |
| $\$ 159,644$ |  | $\$ 209,102$ |
| - | - |  |
| $\$ 159,644$ |  | $\$ 209,102$ |

- 

$\$ 159,644$
n/a
n/a
\$159,644

35,000
$\$ 4.56$
241.2
241.2
\$1,441.01

Exhibit G
Lehigh County Authority - Central Lehigh Division
Lehigh County, Pennsylvania Calculation of Sewer Tapping Fee Sand Spring Wastewater System

## B. Collection Part

Acquisition Costs
System Improvements - 2010
System Improvements - 2012
System Improvements - 2013
Less: Contributions
Net Capital

| Original Cost | Trended Cost |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$58,452 | \$86,846 |
| 5,980 | 7,486 |
| 3,256 | 3,847 |
| 21,882 | 25,138 |
| \$89,570 | \$123,317 |
| - | - |
| \$89,570 | \$123,317 |
| - | - |
| \$89,570 | \$123,317 |

Less: Outstanding Debt
$\frac{\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}}{\$ 89,570}$
Eligible Cost for Capacity Part
Total Capacity
Collection Tapping Fee per Gallon

Gallon per EDU for Capacity Part (1)
$\$ 123,317$
Financing Costs for Collection Part
Total Cost of Collection Part
\$89,570

Collection Tapping Fee per EDU
\$617.27
$\$ 849.83$

## Resolution No. 8-2017-1

(Duly adopted 28 August 2017)

# A Resolution Establishing the Various Components of the Lehigh County Authority <br> Capital Recovery Fees for Various Suburban Division Wastewater Systems. 

Whereas, Lehigh County Authority ("Authority") is a Pennsylvania municipal authority incorporated by the County of Lehigh in accordance with the Municipality Authorities Act to provide, among other services, wastewater services; and

WhEREAS, the Authority owns and/or operates wastewater systems throughout the Lehigh Valley of Pennsylvania; and

Whereas, the Authority charges certain rates and fees for use of and connection to its systems; and

Whereas, the Authority desires to establish its fees in accordance with $\S 5607$ of the Municipality Authorities Act, as amended by legislative action in December 2003, setting forth the appropriate fee components; and

Whereas, the Authority has calculated the allowable basis for such fees for certain of its Suburban Division wastewater systems in accordance with the attached calculations and its Summary of Selected Wastewater Fund Capital Recovery Fees (including Exhibits $\qquad$ through $\qquad$ ), the current version of which, as well as any future changes thereto, is made a part hereof as if included herein; and

NOW ThEREFORE, the Lehigh County Authority, pursuant to powers invested in it by the Pennsylvania Municipality Authorities Act, as amended, hereby resolves that:

1. The capital recovery fees for wastewater service in various of the Authority's Suburban Division wastewater systems as indicated and shown on Summary of Selected Wastewater Fund Capital Recovery Fees, with supporting calculations reflected on Exhibits $\qquad$ through $\qquad$ attached hereto and made a part hereof, are adopted effective $\qquad$ 2017.
2. The Authority's Wastewater Schedules of Rates and Charges shall be amended to reflect the fees hereby adopted, which fees shall be effective as of $\qquad$ 2017.

On motion of $\qquad$ seconded by $\qquad$ this Resolution was adopted the $28^{\text {th }}$ day of August 2017.

## MEMORANDUM

| TO: | LCA Board of Directors |
| :--- | :--- |
| FROM: | Liesel Gross |
| DATE: | August 21, 2017 |
| RE: | 2018 Budget Development Process |

LCA's annual budget is developed on a rather short time schedule in order to accommodate the results of the capital planning discussions that are under way, and to ensure budget adoption prior to November $1^{\text {st }}$, as required by the trustee of our lease bonds. The basic process flows as follows:

| Board Meeting Date | Planned Agenda Item |
| :---: | :--- |
| $8 / 14 / 2017$ | Capital Plan Distribution |
| $8 / 28 / 2017$ | Capital Plan Presentation - Projects \& Financials |
| $9 / 11 / 2017$ | Preliminary Budget / Budget Assumptions Presented |
| $9 / 11 / 2017$ | Capital Plan Additional Discussion / Public Input Review |
| $9 / 11 / 2017$ | Suburban Division Water Rate Study - Review of Assumptions |
| $9 / 25 / 2017$ | Preliminary Rate Approval - Western Lehigh Interceptor |
| $9 / 25 / 2017$ | Capital Plan Approval |
| $10 / 9 / 2017$ | Suburban Division Water Rate Study - Review of Results |
| $10 / 9 / 2017$ | Preliminary Budget Presented \& Discussed |
| $10 / 23 / 2017$ | Final Budget Approval* |

*If additional time is required to address Board concerns about the budget, and Special Meeting can be held on 10/30/2017.

As you can see, month of September includes some important discussions for the Authority regarding the Capital Plan, Suburban Division water rates, and other topics. These are all necessary components to finalize a budget proposal for 2018 to be presented in October.

At the meeting on August 28, 2017, Ed Klein will be available to address questions the Board may have about the series of meetings and discussion between now and October 23, 2017 when we hope to have a final budget available for approval.

Should you have any questions or concerns about this schedule, please contact me.

## MEMORANDUM

To: Lehigh County Authority Board<br>From: Amy Kunkel, LCA Project Engineer<br>Chuck Volk, Chief Capital Works Officer<br>Subject: $\quad$ Suburban Division - Buss Acres Pump<br>Station Replacement- Design Phase

Date: August 14, 2017

## Motions / ApPROVAlS REQUESTED

| No. | Item | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Capital Project Authorization - Design Phase | $\$ 167,405$ |
| 2 | Professional Services Authorization - Buchart Horn (1), (2) | $\$ 92,405$ |

(1) Included in the Capital Project Authorization.
(2) Does not includes Construction phase related engineering services.

## Project Overview

The Buss Acres Water System (also known as the Upper Milford Central Division) is a small "developer built" system that was acquired by LCA in 2006 and serves 98 residential homes and 2 commercial properties. There are two wells, each with its own well station and hydropnuematic tank. UMCD-3 is permitted at 79 GPM and is located at the end of Gary Drive and UMCD-2 is permitted at 45 GPM and is located at the end of Laurie Drive. Water from the two wells is treated with sodium hydroxide for pH adjustment and liquid sodium hypochlorite for chlorination at each station and discharged into the distribution system from each station's buried hydropnuematic tanks. The hydropneumatic tanks at both facilities have exceeded their useful life and are not in compliance with regulatory requirements for pressure vessels. In addition, both well house facilities were evaluated as part of the 2016 Asset Management Evaluation and numerous deficiencies were identified.

In 2007 PaDEP selected LCA to participate in a sampling program for radon to monitor the levels in the water supply at both the entry points. Sampling results showed elevated radon levels. Radon is currently not regulated, but the US EPA has been considering mandating limits. In 2013 a Radon Mitigation Study was prepared by Cowan and Associates, Inc. to evaluate alternatives for reducing radon levels in the drinking water supply. The conceptual construction cost for a radon mitigation alternative presented in the study was approximately $\$ 1.2$ million at the existing Gary well station.

The proposed project involves the consolidation of both stations on the largest well station parcel (Gary Drive) with a single new pump station and a new, larger water storage tank. The new station will be a variable frequency drive controlled double pumping system with full SCADA telemetry/control. Design provisions will be incorporated to facilitate the future addition of radon mitigation equipment, conditioned on the establishment of a regulatory limit. The engineer will also evaluate the feasibility and costs to provide fire protection to customers (currently there is no fire protection provided).

## FUNDING

This Project will be funded by the LCA Suburban Division

## BUDGET AMENDMENT

Not required for this approval

## PROJECT STATUS

Pending Board approval of the Design Phase.

## THIS APPROVAL-DESIGN PHASE

Lehigh County Authority (LCA) intends to retain the services of an engineering consulting firm to provide design related services. Approval for construction related engineering services will be requested with the Construction Phase. The following table summarizes the professional services to be performed:

|  | ${\text { Professional Services }{ }^{(\mathbf{1})}}^{\text {1. }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Attend kickoff meeting. | Evaluate well pumps, fire protection system and costs, and radon removal <br> system and costs. |
| 3. | New pump station and tank design. New raw waterline design from Laurie well <br> site to Gary well site. |
| 4. | Apply for and secure state and local permits. |
| 5. | Prepare construction bid documents (plans and specifications). |
| 6. | Provide bidding services |

1. For Design Phase Only.

## CONSULTANT SELECTION PROCESS

Four engineering firms, Buchart Horn, Cowan Associates, SSM Group, and Carroll Engineering were invited to submit proposals for the project in July 2017. The firms were selected based on prior LCA project performance and/or general expertise with water booster stations. Individual pre-proposal meetings were held with each consultant on June 29 and 30, 2017 at the Buss Acres well sites where the consultants were able to tour the facilities, assess the conditions and ask questions related to the design work. Proposals were received on July 24, 2017, the results of which follow:

| Consultant | Cost $^{\mathbf{( 1 )}}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Cowan Associates | $\$ 90,180$ |
| Carroll Engineering | $\$ 91,109$ |
| Buchart Horn | $\mathbf{\$ 9 2 , 4 0 5}$ |
| SSM Group, Inc. | $\$ 153,000$ |

(1) Total Proposal Cost

Based upon our review of all aspects of both the Technical and Cost Proposals submitted by the four firms, we recommend award of the Design Phase services to Buchart Horn. Their proposal best demonstrates understanding of the project scope, consideration of innovative design elements, and represents what we believe is the best overall value for the Authority. Buchart Horn will perform the services outlined in their proposal dated July 24, 2017.

## BUCHART HORN -COMPANY INFORMATION \& REFERENCE CHECK

Buchart Horn is a multi-disciplinary engineering consulting firm headquartered in York, PA, with regional offices located in seven states. With more than 200 professional and support staff, BH has sufficient personnel in the required disciplines to guarantee continuity and continued progress on all disciplines this contract requires. Using staff based in the Marlton NJ office, augmented by staff available in York, PA and elsewhere as necessary, personnel will be assigned to meet the needs of this project. Buchart Horn's project references include projects of similar scope and size.

## PROJECT SCHEDULE

Assuming Board authorization of design phase at the $8 / 14 / 17$ meeting, this project is anticipated to be bid in March 2018 with completion of the work in early 2019.

## Future Authorizations- Construction Phase

After the construction contract(s) bids are received, a Capital Project Authorization (CPA) Amendment will be presented to the Board for approval of the Construction Phase and will include construction contract award(s), professional services amendment for construction related services, staff costs and other related components.

## CAPITAL PROJECT AUTHORIZATION

| Project No.: | SD-W-17-4 BUDGET FUND: | Suburban Div\Water\Capital |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Title: | Buss Acres Pump Station Replacement | PROJECT TYPE: |
|  |  | Design for Construction Engineering Study |
| THIS AUTHORIZATION: | \$167,405 | Equipment Purchase |
| To Date (W/ ABOVE) | \$167,405 | $\square$ Amendment |

## DESCRIPTION AND BENEFITS:

This Project will include combining two well booster stations into a single double pumping system and new water storage tank with a consolidated raw water treatment system, and upgrade of the SCADA system. The feasibility and costs of installing fire protection and radon removal systems will also be evaluated. Buchart Horn will be used for the engineering consulting services. The project is anticipated to be bid ready by March of 2018.

Reference the attached Memorandum for additional information.

| Previous Authorizations |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| none | $\$ 0$ |  |


| REQUESTED THIS AUTHORIZATION |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Design Phase |  |
| Staff | $\mathbf{\$ 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Engineering Consultant - Buchart Horn | $\mathbf{\$ 9 2 , 4 0 5}$ |
| Misc. | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Contingency | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Total This Authorization | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 7 , 4 0 5}$ |


| Future Authorization |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Construction Phase | $\$ 1,092,595$ |
| Total Estimated Project | $\$ 1,260,000$ |

## Review And Approvals:



Lehigh County Authority

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AUTHORIZATION

Professional: Buchart Horn
2 Eves Drive
Suite 110
Marlton, NJ 08053-3127

Date: August 7, 2017
Requested By: Amy Kunkel
Approvals
Department Head: $\qquad$
Chief Executive
Officer: $\qquad$

## Suburban Division- Buss Acres Pump Station Replacement

Previous Authorizations- None.

## This Authorization - Design Phase: $\$ 92,405$

Buchart Horn will provide Design Services for the aforementioned project in accordance with the RFP and as outlined in their proposal dated July 24, 2017.

|  | Professional Services ${ }^{(\mathbf{1})}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Attend kickoff meeting. |
| 2. | Evaluate well pumps, fire protection system and costs, and radon <br> removal system and costs. |
| 3. | New pump station and reservoir design. New raw waterline <br> design from Gary well site to Laurie well site. |
| 4. | Apply for and secure state and local permits. |
| 5. | Prepare construction bid documents (plans and specifications). |
| 6. | Provide bidding services |

(1) For Design Phase Only

Cost Estimate (not to be exceeded without further authorization): \$92,405
Time Table and Completion Deadline: As required to meet design timeline requirements
(For Authority Use Only)

## Authorization Completion:

Approval: $\qquad$ Actual Cost: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$

## MEMORANDUM

TO: $\quad$ LCA Board of Directors<br>FROM: John Parsons, Chief Operating Officer<br>DATE: $\quad$ August 21, 2017<br>RE:<br>Water Main Break on Hamilton Boulevard

On June 22, 2017, there was a water main break on a 16 " line located at $7450 / 7491$ Hamilton Blvd. During the early stages of the repair, it was noticed that the pipe was corroding from the outside in along a section of pipe well beyond the immediate leak. Because of this, the repair that was performed that day would be considered "temporary" until we would be able to coordinate the pipe replacement for the section that was eventually going to fail. A spot repair using a repair clamp was not a long-term solution for the remainder of the affected section of pipe.

In order to perform a local water shutdown and isolation, we needed to install a 16 " valve on the main. In order to do so, this project was performed in two phases, the temporary repair and the permanent fix. The company that could provide the quickest turnaround on the Insta-Valve was AVT Services. Scheuermann was the low quote for the excavation and replacement of main.

Total Costs for the temporary repair:
Scheuermann Excavating, Inc.
AVT Service Technologies, LLC
Pennsylvania Lawn \& Landscape

Excavation
Insta-Valve
Restoration
\$5,889.67
\$29,550.00
\$850.00

Total Cost for the replacement of $16^{\prime}$ of $16^{\prime \prime}$ water main:

Scheuermann Excavating, Inc. Pennsylvania Lawn \& Landscape Scheuermann Excavating, Inc.

Excavation and Repair
Restoration
Paving
\$28,787.14
\$366.92
\$7,972.00

Total Cost for complete the project:
\$73,415.73
With the total cost of this repair in excess of $\$ 50,000$, we are seeking a retroactive emergency declaration to cover the expenditures for the event.


John Parsons, Chief Operating Officer
CC: Liesel Gross, Chief Executive Officer
Edward Klein, Chief Financial Officer
Patricia Walck, Purchasing Agent

# MEMORANDUM 

Date: August 14, 2017
To: Lehigh County Authority Board
From: Phil DePoe, Capital Works Program Manager
Subject: Allentown Division - Kline's Island WWTP 2017 Clarifier Equipment Replacement: Construction Phase

## MOTIONS / APPROVALS REQUESTED:

| No. | Item | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Capital Project Authorization - Construction Phase | $\$ 879,592$ |
| $2^{(1)}$ | Professional Services Authorization - D’Huy Engineering | $\$ 19,400$ |
| $3^{(1)}$ | General Contract Award - Blooming Glen Contractors WW | $\$ 785,192$ |

(1) Included in the Capital Project Authorization.

## PRoJect OVERVIEW:

In December 2016, the drive unit of Final Clarifier \#5 at Kline's Island WWTP experienced a complete failure. The drive was the original unit that was installed during construction of the clarifier in 1968. A new drive was installed in April 2017 and is now fully operational. The remaining steel mechanisms of Final Clarifier \#5 and the drive unit and similar steel mechanisms of Final Clarifier \#6 have also reached the end of their useful life. All will be replaced during this project. In addition, both clarifiers will receive new fiberglass launder covers.

## FinANCIAL:

This Project will be funded by the LCA Allentown Division.

## PROJECT STATUS:

The project was advertised for bid on July 13, 2017. A mandatory pre-bid meeting was held on July 19, 2017. Bids were received on August 3, 2017.

## THIS APPROVAL - CONSTRUCTION PHASE:

The construction phase includes activities that are necessary to install the new clarifier equipment. The General Contractor will be responsible for all construction activities. The design engineer will be responsible for periodic oversight of the construction, which will be supplemented by in-house project management provided by LCA.

## BIDDING SUMMARY:

The project requires a General Construction (GC) Contract. The bid results are summarized below:

| Bidder | Bid Results |
| :--- | :---: |
| Blooming Glen <br> Contractors WW | $\$ 785,192$ |
| Heisey Mechanical, Ltd. | $\$ 830,357$ |
| Eastern Environmental <br> Contractors, Inc. | $\$ 839,800$ |
| JEV Construction LLC | $\$ 862,500$ |
| DESCCO Design <br> Construction, Inc. | $\$ 856,507$ |
| JP Environmental, LLC | $\$ 919,765$ |
| Allan Myers | $\$ 937,687$ |
| Hickers Associates, Inc. | $\$ 950,285$ |
| Quad Construction <br> Company | $\$ 985,200$ |
| GMH Associates | $\$ 1,206,395.90$ |

Based upon the review of the bids, we recommend award of the General Construction contract to Blooming Glen, subject to the receipt of the necessary Performance Bonds, Insurance and other required documentation. LCA staff has reviewed the supplied references and Blooming Glen is qualified to complete this project. In fact, this contractor has completed numerous jobs for LCA at the WWTP over the past few years.

## Professional Services:

D'Huy Engineers has been our design consultant on this project and will provide construction engineering and on-site inspection services for the construction phase of the project. Their work will also include:

1. Prepare for, attend, and facilitate a pre-construction conference
2. Prepare for and attend three job conference meetings
3. Review and approve contractor's submittals and respond to RFIs
4. Process and necessary change orders
5. Provide limited construction observation
6. Prepare punch list and final project close-out
7. As-built drawing preparation

## Project Schedule:

The specifications require the project to be complete by the end of February 2018.

## FUTURE AUTHORIZATIONS:

No future authorizations are anticipated for this project.

## CAPITAL PROJECT AUTHORIZATION



## DESCRIPTION AND BENEFITS:

In December 2016, the drive unit on Final Clarifier \#5 at Kline's Island WWTP experienced a complete failure. The drive was the original unit that was installed during construction of the clarifier in 1968. The new drive was installed in April 2017 and is now fully operational. The remaining steel mechanisms of Final Clarifier \#5, and the drive unit and similar mechanisms of Final Clarifier \#6 have also reached the end of their useful life and will be replaced during this project.

This capital project will be funded by the LCA Allentown Division.

Please see attached Board Memo for further project details.

Authorization Status:

| Previous Authorizations |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Design Phase | $\$ 36,000$ |
| Purchase of Clarifier \#5 Drive Unit | $\$ 59,400$ |


| Requested This Authorization |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Construction Phase | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Staff | $\$ 785,192$ |
| Construction Contractor | $\$ 19,400$ |
| Engineering Consultant | 10,000 |
| Miscellaneous | $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Contingency | $\mathbf{\$ 8 7 9 , 5 9 2}$ |
| Total This Authorization |  |


| Future Authorizations | None Anticipated |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Estimated Project | $\$ 974,992$ |

## REVIEW AND APPROVALS:

## PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AUTHORIZATION AMENDMENT NO. 1

Professional: D'HuY Engineering, Inc.
One East Broad Street, Suite 310 Bethlehem, PA 18018

Date: August 14, 2017
Requested By: Phil DePoe

## Approvals

Department Head: $\qquad$
Chief Executive
Officer: $\qquad$

## Allentown Division - Kline's Island WWTP 2017 Clarifier Equipment Replacement: Construction Administration Phase <br> D'Huy Engineering, Inc. will assist LCA with construction administration support for the clarifier equipment replacement project.

This Authorization - Professional Services Authorization Amendment No. 1: \$19,400

|  | Professional Services (1) |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Prepare for, attend, and facilitate a pre-construction conference |
| 2. | Prepare for and attend three job conference meetings |
| 3. | Review and approve contractor's submittals and respond to RFIs |
| 4. | Process and necessary change orders |
| 5. | Provide limited construction observation |
| 6. | Prepare punch list and final project close-out |
| 7. | As-built drawing preparation |

(1) For construction administration phase services only.

Previous Authorizations - Design Phase: $\$ 28,500$
This amendment addresses construction administration services that were not specifically accounted for in the original authorization.

Cost Estimate (not to be exceeded without further authorization): $\mathbf{\$ 4 7 , 9 0 0}$
Time Table and Completion Deadline: As required to meet various critical deadlines as set forth in the proposal.
(For Authority Use Only)

## Authorization Completion:

Approval: $\qquad$ Actual Cost: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$

|  | Arcadis U.S., Inc. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ms. Pat Mandes | 1128 Walnut Street |
| Wastewater Services Director | Suite 400 |
| Lehigh County Authority | Philadelphia |
| P.O. Box 3348 | Pennsylvania 19107 |
| Allentown, PA 18106 | Tel 2156250850 |
|  | Fax 2156250172 |
|  | www.arcadis.com |

## Subject:

2017 SCARP Improvement Implementation Plan


#### Abstract

Dear Ms. Mandes: ARCADIS is pleased to provide Lehigh County Authority (LCA) with this scope and budget to prepare the draft and final 2017 SCARP Improvements Implementation Plan (2IP) for submission to PADEP and USEPA by December 31, 2017 and to document the work completed to date for future reference.


## OBJECTIVES

The goal of this work is present a capital improvements implementation plan that:

- Dovetails with the City of Allentown's pending AO Corrective Action Plan
- Gains Western Lehigh Sewer Partnership acceptance
- Provides flexibility for scope and implementation of Phase 2 project
- Is approvable by PADEP and USEPA.


## SCOPE OF WORK

## TASK 1 - DEVELOP DETAILED PLAN OUTLINE

Arcadis will prepare a detailed plan outline for the 2IP for review by LCA, the WLSP, and outside counsel, and for comment and input by City of Allentown (City). This detailed outline will identify all subsections, figures, tables, graphs, etc., anticipated in the report and provide a bulleted outline of what will be discussed in each subsection. The purpose of this step is to:

- Ensure internal documentation of both completed evaluations and determinations and future work are sufficiently detailed to allow implementation of the SCARP consistent with the 2017 intent through the start of Phase 2 in 2028. For example:
o The identified and the completed clearwater disconnections are only captured in various semiannual reports; there is no single database of findings and corrections. This is true for most of the SSES findings.

Date:
June 16, 2017

Contact:
Jim Shelton

Phone:
302.723.1450

Email:
James.Shelton@arcadis.co
m

Our ref:
60000247.0058
o The Source Reduction Program areas have been reported in various powerpoints, but limited actual documentation of why these areas are prioritized has been made.
o The KISS model calibration, weaknesses, and various model runs have not been formally documented.
o The cost estimates and cash flows for the selected approach have not been formally documented.

- Make early decisions about what information should be included in the regulatory plan submission versus what should be documented for ongoing implementation.


## TASK 2 - DEVELOP DRAFT PLAN

Arcadis will prepare a detailed draft outline for the 2IP for review by LCA, the WLSP, and outside counsel, and for comment and input by City of Allentown (City). This detailed draft will be preliminarily written around the below table of contents.

1. Introduction
1.1. Regulatory History and Background
1.2. System Description
1.3. Satellite System Contractual Obligations to LCA
1.4. LCA Contractual Obligations to City of Allentown
1.5. Western Lehigh Interceptor Overflow History
2. Hydraulic Condition Assessment
2.1. Flow and Rain Monitoring
2.2. Model Development
2.3. Design Conditions for Evaluations
2.4. Model Findings
2.5. SSES Program Implementation
2.6. Clearwater Connections
2.7. Leaking Public Sewers
2.8. Private Sewer Leakage
3. Underlying Reasons for WLI SSOs
3.1. Generalized Problem Definition
3.2. Specific Issues Contributing to SSOs
3.2.1. Design Capacity of WLI and Its Trunklines
3.2.2. Anticipated Growth
3.2.3. Industrial Expansions
3.2.4. Inflow and Infiltration
3.2.5. Downstream City of Allentown Sewer Capacity and Treatment Plant Limitations
4. Alternative Analysis Summary1
4.1. Objectives
4.1.1. Elimination of Overflows

### 4.1.2. Dry Weather Operating Depth Goals

4.1.3. Wet Weather Operating Depth Goals
4.2. Preliminary Screening of Alternatives
4.3. Final Screening of Alternatives
4.4. Selected Approach
4.5. System Upgrades Necessary for Future Flows
5. Level of Protection Goals
5.1. Level of Protection Evaluation
5.2. Regulatory Level of Protection Goal
5.3. Hydraulic Basis of Design for Improvements
5.3.1. 10 Year Depth of Flow Reoccurrence
6. Improvements Plan Overview
6.1. Phase 1
6.2. Interregnum
6.3. Phase 2
6.4. CMOM Plans
7. Phase 1 Improvements
7.1. Source Reduction Programs
7.2. Interim Flow and Rain Monitoring and KISS Model Recalibration
7.3. Park Pump Station Refurbishment
7.4. Park Pump Station Forcemain and ARV Rehabilitation
7.5. $\quad$ Spring Creek Pump Station Wet Well and Operating Logic Modifications
7.6. Spring Creek Pump Station Force Main and ARV Rehabilitation
7.7. Trexlertown Interceptor Paralleling
7.8. Iron Run Pump Station and Forcemain
8. Interregnum 8-1
8.1. 2026 Flow and Rain Fall Monitoring
8.1.1. WLSP Metering Network
8.1.2. Allentown and Other Signatory Metering Network
8.2. 2026 KISS Model Recalibration
8.3. 2026 Level of Protection Evaluation
8.4. Act 537 Future Development Flow Predictions for 2030, 2040, and 2050 Planning Horizons
8.5. Phase 2 Confirmation of Approach
8.6. Sizing of New Conveyance and Storage
8.7. Sizing of Little Sister Pump Station and Forcemain
8.8. Sizing of Klines Island Peak Flow Treatment Facilities
8.9. Negotiation with Other Signatories and Allentown for Allocation of Costs for Common

Conveyance, Storage, and Treatment Facilities
9. Currently Anticipated Phase 2 Improvements
9.1. Little Sister Pump Station and Forcemain
9.2. Kecks Bridge Interceptor

### 9.3. Upper Milford Relief Trunkline

9.4. Alburtis Macungie Relief Trunkline
9.5. Ancient Oaks Interceptor
10. Final Level of Protection Proof
10.1. 2038 Flow and Rain Fall Monitoring
10.2. 2038 KISS Model Recalibration
10.3. 2038 Final Level of Protection Proof
11. Progress Reporting
11.1. Annual Reports
11.2. Development Flow Credits Reporting
11.3. Phase 2 Improvements Plan
11.4. Final Level of Protection Proof Report

## TASK 3 - DRAFT PLAN REVIEWS

Arcadis will provide full hard copy and pdf copies of the draft report to LCA and the WLSP at the 70\% and 95\% complete stage. Arcadis will collate the comments from these two submittals and provide consolidated tracked changes documents for review by Arcadis as part of the monthly WLSP Engineer and Municipal Leader meetings.

TASK 4 - PREPARE FINAL PLAN
Arcadis will prepare the final 2IP for ratification by the WLSP and submission to USEPA and PADEP.

## DELIVERABLES

The following deliverables will be made:

- Detailed 2IP outline
- 70\% 2IP draft
- 95\% 2IP draft
- Final 2IP.


## BUDGET ESTIMATE

It is difficult to accurately assess the level of effort for this assignment. We estimate this work will take 440 hours and a cost of $\$ 75,000$. We propose to complete these services on a time and materials basis in accordance with the June 17, 1997 Agreement with LCA and the current Summary of Standard Charges for Lehigh County Authority. We will not exceed this budget without prior authorization from LCA. Payment for services will be based upon the actual labor and expenses incurred.

Please contact me with your authorization to proceed if this scope and budget are acceptable to you. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

James on. Shelton

James W. Shelton, PE
Vice President
Copies:

| Prior: | $\$ 6,638,331$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current: | $\$ 75,000$ |

Project Type:

| $\square$ | Construction |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | Engineering Study |
| $\square$ | Equipment Purchase |
| $\square$ | Amendment |

## DESCRIPTION AND BENEFITS:

## Full Project Overview:

Based on the EPA Compliance Order and the PADEP Chapter 94 requirements, LCA and the LCA Signatories are required to reduce infiltration and inflow from the system and eliminate SSOs from the LCA and Signatory sewer systems. The SCARP Program has been developed to investigate the sources of I \& I and to remediate areas identified as having excessive I \& I. The SCARP Program consists of engineering tasks necessary to define and quantity the problems, to evaluate methods of redress and to determine the corrective actions required to meet the regulatory requirements and prepare the CAP and schedule to be submitted to EPA by December 31, 2017.

Preparation of AO Program Summary and Draft Capital Improvements Implementation Plan: The work completed by the Arcadis, the LCA I \& I Program Consultant includes the following:

Task 1: Develop Detailed Summary and Draft Capital Improvements Implementation Plan Outlines
Task 2: Develop Draft Summary Document and Draft Capital Improvements Implementation Plan
Task 3: Draft Summary and Draft Capital Improvements Implementation Plan Review by LCA and Partners and Revisions

Task 4: Finalize Summary Document and Prepare Final Draft Capital Improvements Implementation Plan for Submission to Regulators

| Previously Approved |  | This <br> Amendment | Total <br> Approval |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Flow Monitoring ADS (thru 2014) | $\$ 1,775,031$ |  | $\$ 1,775,031$ |
| Flow Monitoring TFE | $\$ 294,000$ |  | $\$ 294,000$ |
| Engineering Consulting | $\$ 2,629,300$ | $\$ 75,000$ | $\$ 2,704,300$ |
| Rehabilitation | $\$ 1,590,000$ |  | $\$ 1,590,000$ |
| Staff | $\$ 330,000$ |  | $\$ 330,000$ |
| Contingencies | $\$ 20,000$ |  | $\$ 20,000$ |
| Totals | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 7 1 3 , 3 3 1}$ |  | $\$ 6,713,331$ |

Review and Approvals:
Pat Mandes 7/25/2017
Chief Compliance Officer
Date
Chief Executive Officer

Capital Works Manager

## PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AUTHORIZATION

## AMENDMENT NUMBER 28

Professional:
Arcadis
Jim Shelton
1128 Walnut St.
Philadelphia, PA 19107

Date: $\qquad$
Requested By: Pat Mandes Approvals
Department Head:
Chief Executive
Officer: $\qquad$

## Description of Services (Work Scope, Steps, Check Points, etc.):

This is an amendment to the current Arcadis authorization for the WLI EPA Administrative Order Project: The work covered by this amendment includes preparation of a summary of the work that has been completed on the I \& I project including the investigative and planning phases for future reference and for preparation of the Capital Improvements Implementation Plan to be submitted to the EPA and DEP by the interim deadline of December 31, 2017:

Task 1: Develop Detailed Summary and Draft Capital Improvements Implementation Plan Outlines

Task 2: Develop Draft Summary Document and Draft Capital Improvements Implementation Plan

Task 3: Draft Summary and Draft Capital Improvements Implementation Plan Review by LCA and Partners and Revisions

Task 4: Finalize Summary Document and Prepare Final Draft Capital Improvements Implementation Plan for Submission to Regulators

The scope of work is described in more detail in the proposal dated June 16, 2017.
Cost Estimate (not to be exceeded without further authorization): This amendment is not to exceed $\$ 75,000$ for Document Preparation.

Time Table and Completion Deadline:
No later than December 25, 2017

## Authorization Completion:

(For Authority Use Only)

Approval: $\qquad$ Actual Cost: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$
Capital Project S-08-05, Signatory I \& I Investigation/Remediation Program

# LEHIGH COUNTY AUTHORITY 

FINANCIAL REPORT - JULY 2017

## FINANCIAL REPORT

JULY 2017

1. SUMMARY
A. Month

|  | JULY 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MONTH | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | FC Var | Bud Var | PY Var |
| Income Statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suburban Water | 29,437 | 133,650 | 99,356 | 92,894 | $(104,213)$ | $(69,919)$ | $(63,457)$ |
| Suburban Wastewater | (458) | $(132,656)$ | $(11,223)$ | 154,435 | 132,198 | 10,765 | $(154,894)$ |
| City Division | $(1,358,736)$ | $(3,043,575)$ | $(851,291)$ | $(712,912)$ | 1,684,839 | $(507,445)$ | $(645,824)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Flow Statement (Indirect) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suburban Water | 113,743 | $(162,671)$ | $(419,877)$ | 5,408 | 276,414 | 533,620 | 108,334 |
| Suburban Wastewater | 100,878 | $(301,676)$ | $(304,169)$ | 423,285 | 402,554 | 405,047 | $(322,407)$ |
| City Division | $(286,375)$ | $(1,454,501)$ | 756,537 | $(1,463,921)$ | 1,168,126 | $(1,042,912)$ | 1,177,546 |

## Net income

Suburban Water finished with a net income that was positive while the other two funds finished with losses. Despite Suburban Water having positive net income, this was unfavorable to forecast while Suburban Wastewater and City Division finished with losses that were lower than forecast.

The Suburban Water shortfall to forecast was driven mostly by lower operating and non-operating revenues with some offset from lower operating expenses. The favorable forecast variance on the Suburban Wastewater side is due to favorable variances across the board except for non-operating revenues. The City Division forecast variance is attributable to higher water and wastewater revenues along with higher reimbursement revenues.

## Cash Flows

Suburban Water and Suburban Wastewater both had positive cash flows and both were better than forecast as well. City Division came in negative on cash flows, but were better than the negative cash flows forecasted and budgeted.

Compared to forecast, the primary driver of better than forecasted cash flows was lower capex spending as all three funds had lower spending than forecasted.
B. YTD

|  | JULY 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YTD | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | FC Var | Bud Var | PY Var |
| Income Statement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suburban Water | $(233,351)$ | $(129,137)$ | $(137,847)$ | 424,805 | $(104,213)$ | $(95,504)$ | $(658,156)$ |
| Suburban Wastewater | 495,983 | 363,786 | $(194,279)$ | 506,023 | 132,199 | 690,262 | $(10,040)$ |
| City Division | $(2,395,641)$ | $(4,080,479)$ | $(6,823,160)$ | $(5,152,964)$ | 1,684,839 | 4,427,519 | 2,757,324 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Flow Statement (Indirect) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suburban Water | 14,608,443 | 14,332,029 | 2,127,522 | 364,366 | 276,414 | 12,480,921 | 14,244,077 |
| Suburban Wastewater | 1,383,198 | 980,645 | $(2,244,901)$ | 1,779,655 | 402,554 | 3,628,099 | $(396,457)$ |
| City Division | 535,306 | $(632,819)$ | $(2,348,225)$ | 1,568,494 | 1,168,126 | 2,883,531 | $(1,033,188)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suburban Water | 1.36 | 1.41 | 1.20 | 1.76 | (0.05) | 0.16 | (0.40) |
| Suburban Wastewater | 8.30 | 7.95 | 2.40 | 7.79 | 0.34 | 5.90 | 0.50 |
| City Division | 1.26 | 1.23 | 1.06 | 1.21 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.04 |

## Net income

Suburban Wastewater was the lone fund with a positive net income and that income is also better than both forecast and budget. The other two funds have reported losses for the year with Suburban Water also down a bit to both forecast and Budget, while the City Division is doing well despite the reported loss for the year as net income is much lower than the losses forecasted and budgeted.

Suburban Water fell below forecast and budget as a result of lower operating revenues with some aid from lower operating expenses. Against budget we have also been unfavorably impacted by higher interest expenses and fees on larger borrowing. Suburban Wastewater is up to both forecast and budget with lower operating expenses more than offsetting lower operating revenues and also aided on lower interest expenses from reduced borrowing needs. City Division is better than forecast and compared to budget has favorable variances across the board.

## Cash Flows

Good news on cash flows as all three funds have positive cash flows for the year and cash flows for all three funds are better than forecast and better than budget. Again, primary driver of the forecast and budget variances is lower capital spending, especially compared to budget for all three funds.

## Debt Service Coverage Ratio

Good Debt service coverage ratios for both City Division and Suburban Wastewater compared to forecast and budget. Suburban Water dropped below forecast but still remains above budget so far for the year. DSCR for the City continues to remain above the indenture target of 1.20 and above 8 for Suburban Wastewater is a pretty stellar figure.
2. SUBURBAN WATER
A. Month


Net income was on the positive side for the month as operating revenues outpaced operating expenses and interest expense. However, net income finished below forecast as a result of lower operating revenues and lower non-operating revenues along with higher interest expenses although favorable spending on operating expenses offset some of the previous variances. Operating revenues were down as expected subsidies on the Build America Bonds worth $\$ 101 \mathrm{k}$ have been delayed and that accounts for most of the forecast variance in operating revenues. Operating expenses were lower than forecast for services and water purchases. Non-operating revenues were unfavorably impacted by lower tapping fees and higher capex expensed.

| MONTH - SUBURBAN WATER | JULY 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Flow Statement (Indirect) | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | FC Var | Bud Var | PY Var |
| Net Income | 29,437 | 133,650 | 99,356 | 92,894 | $(104,213)$ | $(69,919)$ | $(63,457)$ |
| Add: Depreciation \& Amortization | 245,832 | 245,832 | 245,833 | 245,832 | - | (1) | - |
| Add: Non-Cash Interest Expense | - | $(2,000)$ | - | (0) | 2,000 | - | 0 |
| Add: Capex Charged to Expense | 34,030 | 25,314 | 8,333 | 48 | 8,716 | 25,697 | 33,982 |
| Principal Payments | $(139,066)$ | $(139,000)$ | $(152,816)$ | $(136,665)$ | (66) | 13,750 | $(2,401)$ |
| Investments Converting To Cash | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Borrowing | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Expenditures | $(56,490)$ | $(426,467)$ | $(620,583)$ | $(196,701)$ | 369,977 | 564,093 | 140,211 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET FUND CASH FLOWS | 113,743 | $(162,671)$ | $(419,877)$ | 5,408 | 276,414 | 533,620 | 108,334 |

The cash flows for the month were positive and additionally, cash flows were also better than the forecast from lower capital spending.
B. YTD

| YTD - SUBURBAN WATER | JULY 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Statement | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | FC Var | Bud Var | PY Var |
| Operating Revenues | 5,106,942 | 5,229,629 | 5,210,531 | 5,014,389 | $(122,687)$ | $(103,589)$ | 92,553 |
| Operating (Expenses) | $(4,575,722)$ | $(4,644,747)$ | $(5,141,731)$ | $(4,319,067)$ | 69,025 | 566,009 | $(256,655)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Income | 531,220 | 584,882 | 68,800 | 695,321 | $(53,662)$ | 462,420 | $(164,101)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses) | 208,164 | 233,851 | 463,169 | 314,439 | $(25,687)$ | $(255,005)$ | $(106,276)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income Before Interest Expense | 739,383 | 818,732 | 531,969 | 1,009,761 | $(79,349)$ | 207,414 | $(270,377)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Income | 47,665 | 54,000 | 116,669 | 32,695 | $(6,335)$ | $(69,004)$ | 14,970 |
| Interest Expense | $(1,020,399)$ | $(1,001,870)$ | $(786,485)$ | $(617,651)$ | $(18,529)$ | $(233,914)$ | $(402,748)$ |
| Capital Contributions | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET INCOME | $(233,351)$ | $(129,137)$ | $(137,847)$ | 424,805 | $(104,213)$ | $(95,504)$ | $(658,156)$ |

We are reporting a loss for the year which is unfavorable to both forecast and budget. Compared to forecast net income is $\$ 104 \mathrm{k}$ lower due to lower operating revenues and lower non-operating revenues offset partly by lower operating expenses. The major operating revenue variance is the delay in the subsidy. Operating expenses have run favorable throughout the year and this variance reflects lower spending on services and equipment. Non-operating have been plagued by lower reimbursement fees and higher expensed capital although we have seen some offset from higher than budgeted tapping fees. Interest expense will remain off to budget from the costs of a higher borrowing level. We borrowed $\$ 15 \mathrm{~m}$ for a three year period vs. $\$ 5.9 \mathrm{~m}$ for one year as budgeted.

| YTD - SUBURBAN WATER | JULY 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Flow Statement (Indirect) | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | FC Var | Bud Var | PY Var |
| Net Income | $(233,351)$ | $(129,137)$ | $(137,847)$ | 424,805 | $(104,213)$ | $(95,504)$ | $(658,156)$ |
| Add: Depreciation \& Amortization | 1,720,824 | 1,720,824 | 1,720,831 | 1,720,824 | - | (7) | - |
| Add: Non-Cash Interest Expense | - | $(2,000)$ | - | (0) | 2,000 | - | 0 |
| Add: Capex Charged to Expense | 185,911 | 177,195 | 58,331 | 2,849 | 8,716 | 127,580 | 183,062 |
| Principal Payments | $(941,662)$ | $(941,596)$ | $(1,069,712)$ | $(955,695)$ | (66) | 128,050 | 14,033 |
| Investments Converting To Cash | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Borrowing | 15,292,006 | 15,292,006 | 5,900,000 | - | - | 9,392,006 | 15,292,006 |
| Capital Expenditures | $(1,415,286)$ | $(1,785,263)$ | $(4,344,081)$ | $(828,417)$ | 369,977 | 2,928,795 | $(586,869)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET FUND CASH FLOWS | $\underline{14,608,443}$ | $\underline{\text { 14,332,029 }}$ | 2,127,522 | 364,366 | 276,414 | 12,480,921 | $\underline{14,244,077}$ |

Cash flow is a positive figure driven by the magnitude of borrowing for capital projects. That positive cash flow is also favorable to forecast and to budget. Against forecast, we are favorable due, mainly, to lower capital spending. The favorable variance to budget is due to lower capital spending along with higher borrowing proceeds as we borrowed for a three year project horizon compared to one year for the budget.

## 3. SUBURBAN WASTEWATER

A. Month

| MONTH - SUBURBAN WASTEWATER | JULY 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Statement | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | FC Var | Bud Var | PY Var |
| Operating Revenues | 1,480,439 | 1,444,246 | 1,530,193 | 1,486,636 | 36,193 | $(49,754)$ | $(6,198)$ |
| Operating (Expenses) | $(1,495,674)$ | (1,597,270) | $(1,546,447)$ | $(1,416,133)$ | 101,596 | 50,773 | $(79,541)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Income | $(15,235)$ | $(153,024)$ | $(16,254)$ | 70,504 | 137,789 | 1,019 | $(85,739)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses) | 32,239 | 38,010 | 66,083 | 100,778 | $(5,771)$ | $(33,844)$ | $(68,538)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income Before Interest Expense | 17,005 | $(115,014)$ | 49,829 | 171,282 | 132,019 | $(32,824)$ | $(154,277)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Income | 400 | 389 | 9,917 | 785 | 11 | $(9,517)$ | (385) |
| Interest Expense | $(17,862)$ | $(18,031)$ | $(70,969)$ | $(17,631)$ | 169 | 53,107 | (232) |
| Capital Contributions | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET INCOME | (458) | $(132,656)$ | $(11,223)$ | 154,435 | 132,198 | 10,765 | $(154,894)$ |

Net income was just a little on the negative side. In this fund, operating revenues were lower than operating expenses and we were able to close some of the gap with higher non-operating revenues. However, we are favorable with respect to forecast as the actual loss was lower than the forecasted loss. For the most part, we had favorable variances against all of the line items except for lower tapping fees causing a slightly unfavorable effect on non-operating revenues. Operating revenues were favorable to forecast with higher revenues from WLI being partially offset by lower revenues from the Wastewater Treatment Plant. Operating revenues were favorable driven by lower spending on maintenance and other services. Non-operating items were slightly unfavorable to forecast from lower tapping fees.

| MONTH - SUBURBAN WASTEWATER | JULY 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Flow Statement (Indirect) | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | FC Var | Bud Var | PY Var |
| Net Income | (458) | $(132,656)$ | $(11,223)$ | 154,435 | 132,198 | 10,765 | $(154,894)$ |
| Add: Depreciation \& Amortization | 364,694 | 364,694 | 327,083 | 334,133 | - | 37,611 | 30,561 |
| Add: Non-Cash Interest Expense | 2,759 | 2,847 | - | 3,076 | (88) | 2,759 | (317) |
| Add: Capex Charged to Expense | - | 86 | 25,000 | - | (86) | $(25,000)$ | - |
| Principal Payments | $(39,589)$ | $(39,500)$ | $(93,946)$ | $(41,946)$ | (89) | 54,357 | 2,358 |
| Investments Converting To Cash | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Borrowing | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Expenditures | $(226,529)$ | $(497,147)$ | $(551,083)$ | $(26,414)$ | 270,618 | 324,554 | $(200,115)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET FUND CASH FLOWS | 100,878 | $(301,676)$ | $(304,169)$ | 423,285 | 402,554 | 405,047 | $(322,407)$ |

Cash flows were on the positive side and were better than forecast driven by favorable cash from operations along with lower capital spending.
B. YTD

| YTD - SUBURBAN WASTEWATER | JULY 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Statement | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | FC Var | Bud Var | PY Var |
| Operating Revenues | 9,797,288 | 9,761,095 | 10,595,633 | 10,452,819 | 36,193 | $(798,345)$ | $(655,531)$ |
| Operating (Expenses) | (9,948,293) | $(10,049,890)$ | $(10,825,129)$ | $(10,606,302)$ | 101,596 | 876,836 | 658,009 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Income | $(151,005)$ | $(288,794)$ | $(229,496)$ | $(153,483)$ | 137,789 | 78,491 | 2,478 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses) | 770,303 | 776,074 | 462,581 | 773,856 | $(5,771)$ | 307,722 | $(3,552)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income Before Interest Expense | 619,298 | 487,280 | 233,085 | 620,372 | 132,020 | 386,213 | $(1,074)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Income | 2,735 | 2,724 | 69,419 | 5,416 | 11 | $(66,684)$ | $(2,682)$ |
| Interest Expense | $(126,049)$ | $(126,218)$ | $(496,783)$ | $(119,766)$ | 169 | 370,734 | $(6,284)$ |
| Capital Contributions | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET INCOME | 495,983 | 363,786 | $(194,279)$ | 506,023 | 132,199 | 690,262 | $(10,040)$ |

For the year, net income is positive and is better than forecast and budget.
Compared to forecast, net income was favorable across the board except for a small variance from lower tapping fees. Compared to budget, revenues are lower but that was more than offset by lower operating expenses additionally aided by higher tapping fees and lower expensed capex. Operating revenues are lower than budget with higher revenues from WLI service areas being more than offset by lower revenues from the Wastewater Treatment Plant. Operating expenses are very favorable to budget and that more than offset the lower revenue effect. Expense spending is lower on maintenance services, contract operator costs, and on materials \& equipment. Interest income is down from lower available balances for investment and interest expense is favorable as we have not borrowed anything this year compared to a budgeted borrowing of $\$ 10.9 \mathrm{~m}$.

| YTD - SUBURBAN WASTEWATER | JULY 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Flow Statement (Indirect) | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | FC Var | Bud Var | PY Var |
| Net Income | 495,983 | 363,786 | $(194,279)$ | 506,023 | 132,198 | 690,262 | $(10,040)$ |
| Add: Depreciation \& Amortization | 2,552,858 | 2,552,858 | 2,289,581 | 2,338,931 | - | 263,277 | 213,927 |
| Add: Non-Cash Interest Expense | 19,841 | 19,929 | - | 20,746 | (88) | 19,841 | (905) |
| Add: Capex Charged to Expense | 518 | 604 | 175,000 | 1,001 | (86) | $(174,482)$ | (483) |
| Principal Payments | $(276,587)$ | $(276,499)$ | $(657,622)$ | $(281,488)$ | (89) | 381,035 | 4,901 |
| Investments Converting To Cash | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Borrowing | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Expenditures | $(1,409,415)$ | $(1,680,033)$ | $(3,857,581)$ | $(805,557)$ | 270,618 | 2,448,166 | $(603,858)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET FUND CASH FLOWS | 1,383,198 | 980,645 | $(2,244,901)$ | 1,779,655 | 402,554 | 3,628,099 | $(396,457)$ |

YTD cash flow is a positive figure and is better than both forecast and budget. Compared to forecast and budget, cash flows are favorable due to higher cash from operations along with lower capital spending.
4. CITY DIVISION
A. Month

| MONTH - CITY DIVISION | JULY 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Statement | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | FC Var | Bud Var | PY Var |
| Operating Revenues | 1,467,184 | 1,229,708 | 2,650,924 | 2,672,410 | 237,476 | $(1,183,740)$ | $(1,205,226)$ |
| Operating (Expenses) | (1,899,474) | (1,872,693) | $(1,871,840)$ | $(1,629,015)$ | $(26,781)$ | $(27,634)$ | $(270,459)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Income | $(432,290)$ | $(642,985)$ | 779,084 | 1,043,395 | 210,695 | $(1,211,374)$ | $(1,475,685)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses) | 317,996 | (1,174,984) | $(283,834)$ | $(441,165)$ | 1,492,980 | 601,830 | 759,161 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income Before Interest Expense | $(114,294)$ | $(1,817,969)$ | 495,250 | 602,230 | 1,703,675 | $(609,544)$ | $(716,524)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Income | 18,499 | 37,335 | 25,167 | 316 | $(18,836)$ | $(6,668)$ | 18,183 |
| Interest Expense | $(1,262,941)$ | $(1,262,941)$ | $(1,371,708)$ | $(1,315,458)$ | - | 108,767 | 52,517 |
| Capital Contributions | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET INCOME | $\underline{(1,358,736)}$ | $\underline{(3,043,575)}$ | $(851,291)$ | $(712,912)$ | 1,684,839 | $(507,445)$ | $(645,824)$ |

Net income was negative but was significantly better than forecast. This was driven by higher operating revenues along with higher reimbursement revenues and lower expensed capex. Operating revenues were up to forecast by $\$ 237 \mathrm{k}$ with water up by $\$ 118 \mathrm{k}$ and Wastewater up by $\$ 119 \mathrm{k}$. The water surplus was mostly in the residential/commercial area while wastewater was up on higher municipal charges along with higher residential \& commercial charges. Operating expenses were up by only $\$ 27 \mathrm{k}$ due to higher services spending being mostly offset by lower materials \& equipment spending. Non-operating revenues were up on higher project reimbursement while non-operating expenses were down on lower expensed capex. Interest income was down on lower available balances to invest.

| MONTH - CITY DIVISION | JULY 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Flow Statement (Indirect) | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | FC Var | Bud Var | PY Var |
| Net Income | $(1,358,736)$ | $(3,043,575)$ | $(851,291)$ | $(712,912)$ | 1,684,839 | $(507,445)$ | $(645,824)$ |
| Add: Depreciation \& Amortization | 450,000 | 450,000 | 466,667 | 450,000 | - | $(16,667)$ |  |
| Add: Non-Cash Interest Expense | 1,262,941 | 1,262,941 | 1,371,708 | 1,315,458 | - | $(108,767)$ | $(52,517)$ |
| Add: Capex Charged to Expense | 138,058 | 1,213,324 | 658,667 | 332,788 | $(1,075,266)$ | $(520,609)$ | $(194,730)$ |
| Principal Payments | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Cash Outlays on Lease \& Lease Reserve | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Investments Converting To Cash | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Borrowing | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Expenditures | $(778,638)$ | $(1,337,191)$ | $(889,214)$ | (2,849,255) | 558,553 | 110,576 | 2,070,617 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET FUND CASH FLOWS | $(286,375)$ | $(1,454,501)$ | 756,537 | (1,463,921) | 1,168,126 | (1,042,912) | 1,177,546 |

Cash flow was negative but was significantly better than forecast due to higher income from operations along with lower capital spending. The favorable effect of net income described above was aided by lower capex spending.
B. YTD

| YTD - CITY DIVISION | JULY 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Statement | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | FC Var | Bud Var | PY Var |
| Operating Revenues | 18,845,432 | 18,607,956 | 17,692,345 | 17,523,694 | 237,476 | 1,153,087 | 1,321,738 |
| Operating (Expenses) | (12,511,096) | $(12,484,315)$ | $(13,102,880)$ | (11,580,723) | $(26,781)$ | 591,784 | $(930,373)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Income | 6,334,336 | 6,123,641 | 4,589,465 | 5,942,971 | 210,695 | 1,744,871 | 391,365 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses) | $(131,899)$ | $(1,624,879)$ | $(1,986,838)$ | $(2,091,110)$ | 1,492,980 | 1,854,939 | 1,959,211 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income Before Interest Expense | 6,202,437 | 4,498,762 | 2,602,627 | 3,851,862 | 1,703,675 | 3,599,810 | 2,350,575 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Income | 242,509 | 261,346 | 176,169 | 203,380 | $(18,836)$ | 66,340 | 39,129 |
| Interest Expense | $(8,840,587)$ | $(8,840,587)$ | $(9,601,956)$ | $(9,208,206)$ | - | 761,369 | 367,619 |
| Capital Contributions | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET INCOME | (2,395,641) | $(4,080,479)$ | $(6,823,160)$ | $(5,152,964)$ | 1,684,839 | 4,427,519 | 2,757,324 |

YTD net income is negative but is better than both forecast and budget. In both cases primary driver is higher operating revenues higher reimbursement revenues and lower expensed capex.

Compared to budget, operating revenues are up by $\$ 1.1 \mathrm{~m}$ with water up by $\$ 879 \mathrm{k}$ and wastewater up by $\$ 274 \mathrm{k}$ with the primary driver being residential/commercial area for both segments. Operating expenses are favorable by $\$ 592 \mathrm{k}$ on lower materials $\&$ supplies and on purchased services. Nonoperating revenues are a little lower than budget and project reimbursement is lower by $\$ 1.7 \mathrm{~m}$, but this has been more than made up by lower expensed capital of $\$ 3.7 \mathrm{~m}$ as a result of lagging capital spending.

| YTD - CITY DIVISION | JULY 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Flow Statement (Indirect) | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | FC Var | Bud Var | PY Var |
| Net Income | (2,395,641) | $(4,080,479)$ | $(6,823,160)$ | $(5,152,964)$ | 1,684,839 | 4,427,519 | 2,757,324 |
| Add: Depreciation \& Amortization | 3,150,000 | 3,150,000 | 3,266,669 | 3,150,000 | - | $(116,669)$ | - |
| Add: Non-Cash Interest Expense | 2,316,726 | 2,316,726 | 3,078,095 | 9,208,206 | - | $(761,369)$ | $(6,891,480)$ |
| Add: Capex Charged to Expense | 818,001 | 1,893,267 | 4,610,669 | 1,653,000 | $(1,075,266)$ | $(3,792,668)$ | $(834,999)$ |
| Principal Payments | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cash Outlays on Lease \& Lease Reserve | $(252,000)$ | $(252,000)$ | $(256,000)$ | $(250,000)$ | - | 4,000 | $(2,000)$ |
| Investments Converting To Cash | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Borrowing | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Expenditures | $(3,101,780)$ | $(3,660,333)$ | $(6,224,498)$ | (7,039,747) | 558,553 | 3,122,718 | 3,937,967 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET FUND CASH FLOWS | 535,306 | $(632,819)$ | $(2,348,225)$ | 1,568,494 | 1,168,126 | 2,883,531 | $(1,033,188)$ |

YTD cash flow is positive and is better than both forecast and budget. In both cases, this is due to higher cash from operations and lower capital spending. Capital spending is lower by $\$ 3.1 \mathrm{~m}$.

```
5. FULL YEAR FORECAST
```

The recently completed Q3 Forecast is in the table below for reference.

|  |  |  |  | Variance to |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Forecast | Budget | Last FC | Budget | Last FC |
| INCOME STATEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suburban Water | $(21,018)$ | $(34,673)$ | $(240,736)$ | 13,655 | 219,718 |
| Suburban Wastewater | 326,504 | $(237,977)$ | $(235,352)$ | 564,481 | 561,856 |
| City Division | $(9,623,562)$ | $(10,217,568)$ | $(10,277,443)$ | 594,006 | 653,881 |
| TOTAL LCA | (9,318,077) | (10,490,218) | $\underline{(10,753,531)}$ | 1,172,141 | 1,435,454 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CASH FLOW STATEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suburban Water | 13,118,480 | $(81,469)$ | 8,746,795 | 13,199,950 | 4,371,685 |
| Suburban Wastewater | $(501,737)$ | 7,121,675 | 37,287,310 | $(7,623,412)$ | $(37,789,047)$ |
| City Division | $(3,705,185)$ | $(4,479,360)$ | $(4,538,598)$ | 774,175 | 833,413 |
| TOTAL LCA | 8,911,558 | 2,560,846 | 41,495,507 | 6,350,712 | (32,583,950) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE RATIO |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suburban Water | 1.38 | 1.27 | 1.20 | 0.12 | 0.19 |
| Suburban Wastewater | 7.96 | 2.45 | 2.52 | 5.51 | 5.44 |
| City Division | 1.22 | 1.21 | 1.18 | 0.01 | 0.04 |



|  | Vainacato |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bugatet Pioryear | Foreast Budget Pioryear |
| 10,55 10,45 | (799) (650) |
| 10,825 10,006 | 10287 |
| (22) (153) | 138 |
| $35 \quad 659$ | (6) 612 |
| (194) 506 | $132 \quad 690$ (10) |
| $2.40 \quad 1.79$ | $0.35 \quad 5.90$ |
| (2,24) 1,780 | 402 3,628 (397) |


DASHBOARD - SUBURBAN WASTEWATER


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |




 YTD compraarive (sooos)
Operating Revenues
Less Operating Expenses
Operating Income
Othe income expenenses)
Net Income
Debt Serice Coverage
Net Cash Flows
DASHBOARD - CITY DIVISION


|  |  |  | Julv2017 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual | Foreast | Budget | Priorvear | ${ }^{\text {fevar }}$ | bud var | ar |
| 106，992 | 5，229，639 | 5．210．531 | $5.014,389$ | ${ }^{(122,887)}$ | ${ }^{(1035599}$ | S |
| 55，22） | （4，64，747） | （5，44，7，31） | （4，319，68） | 69.025 | S66，009 | （55） |
| 531220 | ${ }_{59,882}$ | 8，800 | ${ }^{\text {c9，} 321}$ | ${ }^{53,627}$ | 462,20 | （16， 6,01 |
| 3，164 | 23，851 | 463,169 | 314439 | （25，687） | （255，005） | （106， 276 |
| ${ }^{73,383}$ | ${ }_{818,73}$ | ${ }_{531,968}$ | 1．09761 | （79，399） | 20，44 | （27，37） |
| （1200， 4 （1959 |  |  | ${ }^{32695}$ | （1，359） | $(69,004)$ | （1，970 |
|  | ，137） | ，847 | ${ }^{42,485}$ | 042 | 959504 |  |
|  |  |  | Uut2017 |  |  |  |
| Actual | Foreast | Buget | Prorrear | fcuar | Bud var |  |
| ${ }_{\text {col }}$ | 1272 | （137，897 | ${ }^{12248805}$ | ${ }^{\text {（104223］}}$ | ${ }_{\text {ISG，50］}}$ |  |
|  | 1，120， |  | 1，20， |  |  |  |
| 185,911 | 17，195 | ${ }^{58,331}$ | 2899 | 716 | 127，50 |  |
| ${ }^{(914,622]}$ | （991，566） | （1．06， 712 | ${ }_{(055,699}$ |  | 128，50 |  |
| 22006 | 15，222006 | $5.900,00$ |  |  | 2，322 | ${ }^{06}$ |
| 15，286 | （1，785，26 | 4，34，081 | ［28，417］ | 69，972 | 2988，95 | 586，699 |
| ［08，43 | 1，332，023 | 2127，52 | 36,366 | 27.414 | $12.489,91$ | 14，24，077 |
|  |  |  | Hur2017 |  |  |  |
| Actual | Forecast | Budget | Priorvar | fevar | Bud ${ }^{\text {ar }}$ | pruar |
| 106，922 | $5.229,6$ | 5，210，531 | 5．014，389 |  | （10，3，58 |  |
| 4， 47,685 | $\xrightarrow{\substack{\text { c，} 2,3,535] \\ 54,000}}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { che } \\ \text {（6，002 }}}^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 2299，709 | 2359，706 | 1．906，30 | 2.488 .80 | （59997） | ${ }^{39,409}$ | （0，132） |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| （01， 622 | （991，596） | （06，72 | 955，99 | （6） | 128，50 | 1，033 |
| 33， 648 | ${ }^{114,241}$ | 50，103 | ${ }_{875,95}$ | 17．592） | 28，545 | 847） |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | （106，26） |
| （125，911 | 177，195 |  | 2,89 | ${ }_{8,726}$ | ${ }^{1277580}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25,39 | 2，．30 | 194,831 |  | ${ }^{14,233)}$ | （169934） | ${ }^{25,37}$ |
| 22006 | 15,222006 | 5，900，00 |  |  | ，3920 |  |
|  |  | 13340891 |  |  | 298，795 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14，32，022 | $\xrightarrow{2,212,32}$ | 36,36 | 26，4， | 12， | （1，24，0n |
|  |  | 232，969 | 2，76，129 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1.368006}$ | 1，954，465 | ${ }^{1.856,197}$ | ${ }^{1.573,345}$ |  |  |  |


|  |
| :---: |
| Operating Reverues |
| Operatign ncome |
|  |  |
|  |
| Befor |
| Interest mome |
| Interetespense |
| Netincome |
| Yo．Suburan wartir |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |
| Add：Capex Charged to Exp |
|  |
|  |  |
|  |
| Neffunocashfows |
| vto．suuuraan watr |
| Cashfliow statement（Oirect） |
| Operating Revenues |
| Operating Expe |
| Cashavalable for eet sencice |
| Interest payments |
|  |  |
|  |
| Non－Operating Revenues（Expenses） Add：Capex Charged to Expense Less：roject Reimbursement |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |  |
|  |
| Coptalatreena |
| Nefenodocast fows |
|  |  |
|  |
| Cash Avalabe |
| osck |


|  |  | 颜 | \％ |  | 部\| |  | 呩 |  |  | $\stackrel{8}{4}$ | 登彦 | 器 |  | 离 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 高 | 部 | ๕́ | 畣导 | 産\| |  |  | $\stackrel{\AA}{i} \mid$ |  | 률 | 离部 | ${ }_{\sim}^{*}$ |  | 唇 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{y}{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathscr{Z}} \text { 区 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \％ | ® |  | 部 |  | $\stackrel{\text { in }}{\stackrel{\circ}{6}}$ | 部 |  | $\frac{\boxed{6}}{6}$ |  | 㕊會 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 啇 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 覂 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 命 | \％ | สู | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 骨㝻 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 跑 | $\stackrel{\stackrel{i}{i n}}{\substack{0}} \mid$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { gig } \\ \text { ®in } \end{gathered}$ |  | 尉 | 守年 | \％ั๋ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 检 | 8 | 器 | 気鬼 | 㖣｜ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ixitig }}{\substack{6}}$ | 部\| |  |  |  | $\stackrel{8 \pi}{\dddot{g}}$ |  | 䰄 | 弇 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 管 | ） | 彥 | $\frac{9}{7}$ | $\stackrel{8}{9}$ |  | 㨽 | 啇\| |  | $\stackrel{y}{\circ}$ |  | ! | 高高高受 | 商 | ঞٌ |  |  | 麇 | Bigigigi id |
|  | 咢 |  | $\stackrel{\%}{\circ}$ |  | 㖣 |  | － | \％ |  | \％ |  | \％ | ®iz | 柡 |  |  |  |  |  |


| LEHIGH COUNTY AUTHORITY <br> JBURBAN WATER |
| :---: |
|  |
| month－suuuraan watir |
| mrome Statenent |
|  |
|  |
| Operating hrome |
|  |
| Non－Operating Reveruesestexenenses |
| Income Before min |
| Interest trome |
|  |
| netincome |
| month Suuubaan water |
| Cash fow statement（lndirect） |
|  |
| Add：Non：Cash literest txpeer |
|  |
| Perindial Payments |
|  |
|  |
| Nefunocashfows |
| month－suuvaban water |
| Cashtiow satement（0irect |
|  |
| Opeatatis Expenses（Ex Depreceition） |
| Cash Avalable for oets Senice |
|  |
| Pindicalal Pamments |
| Net Cashavailable Atter Oebitservice |
| Non－Operating Revenues Ixpen |
| Add：Capex Charge to texense |
| Less．Proiect Reimulisemen |
|  |
| Net Cash Avalalale forc copital |
| Proiect Reimursenent |
| Caprat Contributions |
|  |
| Capita Exeenditures |
|  |
| Nef fundocastilows |
| deat servic coverage ratio |
| Total Cashavalable for oebi |
|  |


| Lehigh countr authorit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUBurban Wastewater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHLY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| month- suburaan wastewater |  |  |  | JULY 2017 |  |  |  | YtD- suburban Wastewater |  |  |  | Jutr 2017 |  |  |  |
| Income Statement | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | fc var | Budvar | Pr Var | Income Statement | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | fevar | Bud var | Pr var |
| Operating Revenues | 1,480,439 | 1,444,246 | 1,530,193 | 1,486,636 | 36,193 |  | (6,198) | Operating Revenues | 9,997,288 | 9,761,095 | 10,59, 533 | 10,45,8,89 |  | (798, 345) | (655,531) |
| Operating (Expenses) | (1,495,674) | (1,597,270) | $(1,546,477)$ | $(1,416,13)$ | 101,596 | 50,73 | (79,541) | Operating EExpenses) | (9,98, 233$)$ | (10,049,890) | $(10,825,129)$ | (10,606,302) | 101,596 | 876,836 |  |
| Operating Income | $(15,235)$ | (153,024) | (16,254) | 70.504 | 137,789 | 1.019 | (85,739) | Operating Income | (151,005) | (288,794) | (229,496) | (153,483) | 137,789 | 78.491 | 2.478 |
| Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses) | 32,239 | 38,010 | 66,083 | 100,778 | (5,711) | (33,844) | (68,538) | Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses) | 70,303 | 776,074 | 462,581 | 773,856 |  |  | (3,552) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income Before Interest Expense | 17,005 | (115,014) | 49,829 | 171,282 | 132,019 | (32,824) | $(154,277)$ | Income Before Interest Expense | 619,298 | 487,280 | 233,085 | 620,372 | 132,020 | 386,213 | (1,074) |
| Interest Income | 400 | 389 | 9,917 | 785 | 11 | (9,517) | (385) | Interest Income | 2,735 | 2.724 | 69,419 | 5,416 | 11 | $(6,884)$ | (2,682) |
| Interest Expense | (17,862) | (18,031) | (70,969) | (17,631) | 169 | 53,107 | (232) | Interest Expense | (126,049) | $(126,218)$ | (496,783) | (119,766) | 169 | 370,734 | (6,284) |
| Capital Contributions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Capital Contribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| netincome | (458) | $(132,656)$ | (11,23) | 154,435 | 132,198 | 10,765 | (154,894) | netincome | 495,983 | 363,786 | (194,279) | 506,023 | 132,199 | 690,262 | (10,040) |
| month - suburban wastewater |  |  |  | JULY 2017 |  |  |  | Yto- suburban Wastewater |  |  |  | July 2017 |  |  |  |
| Cash Flow Statement (Indirect) | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior Year | fc var | Budvar | prvar | Cash flow statement (Indirect) | Actual | Forecast | Budget | Prior vear | fc var | Bud Var | PY var |
| Net Income | (458) | $(133,656)$ | (11,23) | 154,435 | 132,198 | 10,765 | (154,894) | Net Income | 495,983 | 363,786 | (194,279) | 506,023 | 132,198 | 690,262 | (10,040) |
| Add: Depreciation \& Amortization | 364,694 | 364,694 | 327,083 | 334,133 |  | 37,611 | 30,561 | Add: Depreciation \& Amortization | 2,552,858 | 2,552,858 | 2,289,581 | 2,338,931 |  | 263,277 |  |
| Add: Non-Cash Interest Expense | 2,759 | 2,847 |  | 3,076 | (88) | 2,759 | (317) | Add: Non-Cash Interest Expense | 19,841 | 1,929 |  | 20,746 | (88) | 19,841 | (905) |
| Add: Capex Charged to Expense |  |  | 25,000 |  | (86) | $(25,000)$ |  | Add: Capex Charged to Expense | 518 | 604 | 175,000 | 1,001 | (86) | (177,482) | (483) |
| Principal Payments | (39,589) | (39,50) | $(93,966)$ | (41,946) | (89) | 54,357 | 2,358 | Principal Payments | (276,587) | (276,499) | (657,622) | (281, 488) | (89) | 381,035 | 4,901 |
| Investments Converting To Cash |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Investments Converting To Cash |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Borrowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | New Borrowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Expenditures | (226,529) | (497, 147) | (551,083) | (26,414) | 270,618 | 324,54 | (220,115) | Capital Expenditures | (1,409,415) | (1,680,033) | (3,857,581) | (800,557) | 270,618 | 2,448,166 | $(603,858)$ |
| Net fund cashflows | 100,878 | (301,676) | (304,169) | 423,285 | 402,554 | 405,047 | (32,407) | net fund cash flows | 1,383,198 | 980,645 | $(2,24,901)$ | 1,779,655 | 402,54 | 3,628,099 | (396,457) |
| month- Suburan wastewater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ytd- Suburban wastewater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MONH- SUBURBAN WASTEWATER | Actual | Foreast | Bugget | Prior Year | Fc var | Budvar | Pr var | Cash Flow statement (Direct) | Actual | Forecast | Budget | ${ }_{\text {Prior Year }}$ | fc var | Bud Var | Pr Var |
| Operating Revenues | 1,480,439 | 1,444,246 | 1,530,193 | 1,486,636 | 36,193 | (49,754) | $(6,198)$ | Operating Revenues | 9,797,288 | 9,761,095 | 10,59,633 | 10,42,819 | 36,193 | (798, 345) | (655,531) |
| Operating Expenses (Ex Depreciation) | $(1,130,980)$ | $(1,23,576)$ | $(1,219,364)$ | $(1,082,000)$ | 101,596 | ${ }_{88,384}$ | (48,980) | Operating Expenses (Ex Depreciation) | $(7,395,435)$ | (7,49,032) | $(8,535,548)$ | (8,267,371) | 101,596 | 1,140,113 | 871,936 |
| Interest Income | 400 | 389 | 9,917 | 785 | 11 | (0,517) | (385) | Interest Income | 2,735 | 2.724 | 6,419 | 5,416 | 11 | $(66,684)$ | (2,682) |
| Cash Available For Debt Service | 349,859 | 212,059 | 320,746 | 405,421 | 137,800 | 29,113 | (55,563) | Cash Available for Debt Service | 2,404,588 | 2,266,788 | 2,129,504 | 2,190,864 | 137,800 | 275,084 | 213,724 |
| interest Payments | $(15,103)$ | (15,184) | (70,969) | (14,555) | 81 | 55,866 | (548) | Interest Payments | $(106,208)$ | (106,289) | (496,783) | (99,020) | 81 |  | (7, 188) |
| Principal Payments | (39,589) | (39,50) | (93,946) | (41,946) | (89) | 54,357 | 2,358 | Principal Payments | (276,587) | (276,499) | (657,622) | (288, 488) | (89) | 381,035 | 4,901 |
| Net Cash Available After Debt Service | 295,167 | 157,375 | 155,831 | 348,920 | 137,792 | 139,336 | (53,753) | Net Cash Available Atter Debt Service | 2,021,792 | 1,884,000 | 975,099 | 1,810,356 | 137,792 | 1,046,693 | 211,437 |
| Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses) | 32,239 | 38,010 | 66,083 | 100,78 |  |  | (6,538) | Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses) | 70,303 |  | 462,581 | 73, 856 |  | 307,722 |  |
| Add: Capex Charged to Expense |  | 86 | 25,000 |  | (86) | (25,000) |  | Add: Capex Charged to Expense | 518 | 604 | 175,000 | 1,001 | ${ }^{186}$ | (174,482) | (483) |
| Less: Project Reimbursement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Less: Project Reimbursement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Cash Working Capital Changes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Non-Cash Working Capital Changes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Cash Available For Capital | 327,406 | 195,471 | 6,914 | 499,688 | 131,935 | 80,492 | (122,292) | Net Cash Available for Capital | 2,792,613 | 2,660,678 | 1,612,680 | 2,585,212 | 131,935 | 1,179,933 | 207,40 |
| Project Reimbursement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Project Reimbursement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Contributions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Capital Contributions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments Converting To Cash |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Investments Converting To Cash |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Borrowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | New Borrowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Expenditures | (226,529) | (497, 147) | (551,083) | (26,414) | 270,618 | 324,544 | (200,115) | Capital Expenditures | (1,409,415) | (1,680,033) | (3,857,581) | (805,557) | 270,618 | 2,448,166 | (603,858) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net fund cash flows | 100,878 | (301,676) | $(304,169)$ | 423,285 | 402,554 | 405,047 | (322,407) | Net fund Cash flows | 1,383,198 | 980,645 | ( $2,244,901)$ | 1,779,655 | 402,54 | 3,628,099 | (396,457) |
| debt service coverage ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | debt service coverage ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cash Available For Debt Service | 382,988 |  |  | 506,199 |  |  |  | Total Cash Available For Debt Service |  |  | 2,767,085 |  |  |  |  |
| Debt Serrice | 54,992 | 54,684 | 164,915 | 56,501 |  |  |  | Debtservice | 382,795 | 382,788 | 1,154,405 | 380,508 |  |  |  |
| DSCR | 6.99 | 4.57 | 2.50 | 8.96 |  |  |  | DSCR | 8.30 | 7.95 | 2.40 | 7.79 |  |  |  |



|  | System | high County Authori <br> Operations Review - Ju <br> esented: August 28, 201 | $\text { y } 2017$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical Activities | System | Description | Jul-17 | 2017-to-Date | 2016 Totals | Permit |
|  |  |  | Daily Avg (MGD) | Daily Avg (MGD) | Daily Avg (MGD) | Daily Max (MGD) |
| Water Production | Allentown | Total | 22.07 | 21.45 | 20.41 | 39.0 |
|  |  | Schantz Spring | 6.31 | 6.38 | 5.91 | 9.0 |
|  |  | Crystal Spring | 3.87 | 3.90 | 3.86 | 4.0 |
|  |  | Little Lehigh Creek | 11.89 | 11.11 | 10.46 | 30.0 |
|  |  | Lehigh River | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 28.0 |
|  | Central Lehigh | Total | 9.80 | 9.36 | 9.27 | 19.04 MGD Avg |
|  |  | Feed from Allentown | 6.99 | 7.02 | 5.99 | 7.0 MGD Avg 10.5 MGD Max |
|  |  | Well Production (CLD) | 2.81 | 2.34 | 3.28 | 8.54 MGD Avg |
|  |  | Sum of all (12) other Suburban Water Systems | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 1.71 Sum of all wells |
| Wastewater Treatment |  | Kline's Island | 34.53 | 31.35 | 29.67 | 40.0 |
|  |  | Pretreatment Plant | 4.95 | 4.41 | 4.33 | 5.75 (design capacity) |
|  |  | Sum of all (5) other Suburban WW Systems | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.36 |
|  |  |  | Jul-17 | 2017-to-Date | 2016 Totals |  |
| Precipitation Totals (inches) |  |  | 8.21 | 30.69 | 36.82 |  |
| Compliance Reports Submitted to Allentown |  |  | 29 | 188 | 269 |  |
| Notices of Violation (NOVs) |  | (Allentown + Suburban) | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |
| Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs)/Bypasses |  | (Allentown + Suburban) | 6 | 18 | 16 |  |
| Main Breaks Repaired |  | Allentown | 2 | 12 | 19 |  |
|  |  | Suburban | 0 | 5 | 11 |  |
| Customer Service Phone Inquiries |  | (Allentown + Suburban) | 1,993 | 15,714 | 28,099 |  |
| Water Shutoffs for Non-Payment |  | (Allentown + Suburban) | 122 | 1,024 | 1,685 |  |
| Injury Accidents |  | (Allentown + Suburban) | 0 | 5 | 10 |  |
| Emergency Declarations |  | Allentown | 0 | (2) @ \$51,235 | (2) @ \$87,079 |  |
|  |  | Suburban | 1 | \$72,554 | (1) @ \$33,495 |  |
| Significant Repairs: <br> KIWWTP Primary Digester \#1 cover replacement has only a few small tasks remaining and the project is scheduled for completion by mid September. There was a 16 " main break on Hamilton Boulevard (near Air Products) that resulted in the need for emergency repairs by contractors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Description of NOVs and/or SSOs: <br> There was (1) SSO in the city resulting from a blockage and (2) bypasses occurred at Heidelberg Heights during heavy rainfall events. There was a sludge release at Sand Springs WWTP and a leachate spill at KIWWTP, both resulting from operator errors. A sludge spill at the PTP occurred as a result of a broken line. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Highlights: <br> In the CLD, the flushing program was halted due to available manpower after $948 / 1515$ ( $63 \%$ ) of the hydrants were worked. Flushing will resume in 2018. As of $8 / 17 / 2017$, the valve and hydrant maintenance program has worked $1,278 / 1,515$ ( $84 \%$ ) of the hydrants, generating (739) work orders and (23) high priority work orders for malfunctioning hydrants. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    (1) Average Household Size Per 2010 Census for Heidelberg Township
    $\begin{array}{r}2.68 \\ 90 \\ \hline 241.2\end{array}$
    Gallons Per Capita Per Day Allowed Per Act 57 of 2003
    Total Gallons Per Day Per EDU

[^1]:    (1) Average Household Size Per 2010 Census for North Whitehall Township

    Gallons Per Capita Per Day Allowed Per Act 57 of 2003
    Total Gallons Per Day Per EDU
    2.68
    $\begin{array}{r}9.68 \\ \hline 241.2\end{array}$

